



‘ORFI ŠIRAZI

‘ORFI ŠIRAZI, Persian poet of the latter half of the 16th century (b. Shiraz, 1555; d. Lahore, Aug. 1591). His name is given as Jamāl-al-Din Moḥammad Sidi (or Sayyedi) in the early sources. His father, Zeyn-al-Din ‘Ali Balawi, was a prominent official of the provincial administration whose dealings with customary law (*‘orf*) in the course of his professional duties led to his son’s choice of ‘Orfi as his penname (*taḳalloṣ*). The young ‘Orfi soon established himself as a leading figure in the literary life of Shiraz. In *‘Arafāt al-‘āšeḳin*, the biographer Awḥadi of Balyān provides an eye-witness account of the poetic circle of Mir Maḥmud Ṭarḩi, where ‘Orfi and poets such as Qeydi of Shiraz (Golčīn-e Ma‘āni, 1995, pp. 441-53), and Ğeyrati of Shiraz (*Kārvān-e Hend*, II, pp. 959-69) competed with each other in composing responses to the lyrics (*ġazals*) of [Amir Ḳosrow](#) and [Bābā Faġāni](#) among others. In spite of the flourishing and highly competitive literary world of sixteenth-century Persia, ‘Orfi made his mark quickly. His talents were recognized by Moḥtašam of Kashan, and he corresponded with Waḥši of Bāfq. Like many of his contemporaries, ‘Orfi was lured to India by the lavish patronage of the Mughal courts and sailed from the port of Jarun in 1584.

After arriving in the Deccan, ‘Orfi proved his talent in the literary salons of [Aḥmadnagar](#), but his arrogance soon made him unwelcome, and he moved on to the imperial capital of Faṭḩpur Sikri. There he was well received by Fayzi (q.v.), the leading poet at the court of [Akbar](#), whom ‘Orfi accompanied on the campaign to the Punjab in 1585. Through Fayzi, ‘Orfi became acquainted with Masiḩ-al-Din ḩakim Abu’l Faṭḩ of Gilān (see *Kārvān-e Hend*, I, pp. 13-15) who,



until his death in 1589, was the poet’s principal supporter and patron. ‘Orfi then joined the entourage of the Mughal statesman, general, and patron of letters, Mirzā ‘Abd-al-Raḥim Kān-e Kānān (q.v.). He held ‘Orfi in great esteem and introduced him into the service of Akbar, and his son Salim (later Jahāngir). ‘Orfi accompanied Akbar on his seasonal retreat to Kashmir in 1588, but did not enjoy his new status for long: he died of dysentery in Lahore in August 1591. Some three decades later, his remains were disinterred and reburied in Najaf.

Despite dying young, ‘Orfi had a great impact on his contemporaries through the force of both his personality and his poetry. Perhaps in part because he was disfigured by smallpox in his teens, ‘Orfi was hypersensitive, quick to take offense and respond to any taunt with a ready wit and a sharp tongue. In an oft-quoted anecdote (see Browne, *Lit. Hist. Persia*, IV, p. 245), ‘Orfi finds his sponsor, Fayzi, holding a puppy one day and asks the name of the “young master.” When Fayzi answers, “Orfi,” ‘Orfi replies, “*Mobāarak bāšad*,” both offering his congratulations on the new pet and suggesting that Fayzi ought to name it after his father, Sheikh Mobāarak. Even his most sympathetic biographer, ‘Abd-al-Bāqi of Nahāvand, remarks on ‘Orfi’s open disregard for the standard protocol and etiquette of the Mughal court. His poetic braggadocio (*fakr*) knew few limits, and he declared his poetry to be superior not only to that of his contemporaries, but also unrivalled by the greatest poets of the past, such as Kāqāni, Anvari, and Neẓāmi. Some *taḍkeras* (*Ṭabaqāt-e Akbari* and *Meykāna*) go so far as to suggest that this egotism was the cause of the poet’s premature demise. Not surprisingly, ‘Orfi offended many of his fellow poets, and Naẓiri of Nishapur, his rival at the court of the Kān-e Kānān, includes a blistering condemnation of ‘Orfi’s arrogance in one of his *qašidas* (*Divān*, p. 509).

However exaggerated ‘Orfi’s lofty estimation of his own talents, his claims were not unfounded. His poetry enjoyed great popularity in his lifetime throughout the Persian-speaking world, and E. J. W. Gibb (I, p. 129; III, pp. 247-48) remarks on ‘Orfi’s formative influence on Ottoman Turkish poetry as well. Both Awḥadi of Balyān and ‘Abd-al-Bāqi of Nahāvand identify ‘Orfi as the “inventor of the *ṭarz-e tāza*.” Although no single poet can justly be given credit for the emergence of the “fresh” or “Indian” style (which would dominate Persian poetry for the next two centuries), ‘Orfi did play a crucial role in the move away from the colloquial diction and realist aesthetics of the *maktab-e woqu’* and toward a new valuation of conceptual subtlety and imagistic

complexity. Among ‘Orfi’s works, his *qaşidas* have met with special critical acclaim. Though a few of these are addressed to ‘Orfi’s early Safavid patrons (Shah Esmā’il II and Pari-*Kānom*), most date from his career in India and are dedicated to Abu’l-Faḥ of Gilān, ‘Abd-al-Raḥim Kā’-n-e *Kānān*, Prince Salim, and Akbar. ‘Orfi also wrote a number of devotional *qaşidas* on the Prophet Moḥammad and Imam ‘Ali, including his single longest work in this genre, entitled *Tarjomat al-šowq (Kollyāt, II, pp. 119-46)*. Anna Livia Beelaert analyzes this poem as an example of thematic genre of the *sowgand-nāma* (oath poem) and a creative imitation of an earlier panegyric by Kamāl-al-Din of Isfahan. ‘Orfi also wrote responses (*javābs*) to other recognized masters of the genre such as *Kāqāni* and Anvari. Like these poets, ‘Orfi often makes learned allusions to such fields as logic and medicine, inspiring in India a series of commentaries on his *qaşidas* (see *Kollyāt, I, pp. 93-95*). His style in the *qaşida* has been praised for its measured, yet fluent diction, continuity of theme over extended passages, the coinage of new metaphorical compounds, and innovative comparisons.

These last two features in particular are also evident in ‘Orfi’s work in other genres. His mastery of the *qaşida* has perhaps unjustly overshadowed his *ġazals*, which at their best demonstrate a powerful command of language and subtlety of thought and imagery. As might be expected of a poet who grew up with the *maktab-e woqu’*, his amatory lyrics are characterized by a discriminating insight into the psychology and negotiations of the love relationship (Šebli No’māni, III, pp. 95-101). ‘Orfi’s real strength, however, is in his handling of philosophical and gnostic themes, and *Ḍakāwati Qarāgozlu* has noted the attitude of critical doubt and antinomianism that often informs ‘Orfi’s *ġazals*. Here, he shows his debt to his compatriot from Shiraz, *Ḥāfeẓ*, one of the few earlier poets whom ‘Orfi praised without reservation and whose *ġazals*, along with those of another fellow poet from Shiraz, *Bābā Faġāni*, were among his favorite models for response poems (see Tamimdāri, pp. 423-27 and Losensky, 1998, pp. 235-37 and appendix B). ‘Orfi’s *divān* also contains a few *tarkib-* and *tarji-bands* and several dozen *qeṭ’as*, mostly on courtly themes, as well as a couple of hundred *robā’is*.

‘Orfi began work on a *kaṃsa* on the model of *Neẓāmi*, but he died before bringing even one of the five projected *matnawis* to completion. He finished a little over 1,400 verses of *Majma’ al-abkār* (in the meter of *Maḳzan al-asrār*), which consists of ethical and didactic tales in a Sufi mode. Only four hundred verses of the introductory sections of his *Farḥād o Širin* survive. Besides other



scattered rhymed couplets, ‘Orfi did complete a short *sāqi-nāma*, a genre much in vogue at the time. In terms of form, his most unusual work is a satire on contemporary poets, a hybrid between a *maṭnawī* and a *tarjī-band* (*Kolliyāt*, III, pp. 258-65). ‘Orfi’s interest in Sufism is again apparent in his short prose work entitled *Resāla-ye nafsiya*, ‘Treatise on the Ego-Self.’ Finally, samples of his personal correspondence and other prose jottings have been gleaned from manuscript miscellanies and some copies of his *divān*.

The contemporary historian ‘Abd-al-Qāder Badā’uni) reports that “there is no street or bazaar where booksellers do not stand with copies of the *divāns* of ‘Orfi and Ḥoseyn Ṭanā’i prominently on display,” (*Kolliyāt*, I, pp. 122-23) and ‘Orfi’s popularity is attested by the more than one hundred manuscripts of his works that are preserved today. However, he died before being able to oversee a final, definitive compilation of his *divān*. He did prepare a first collection of his own works in 1588, but also bemoaned the loss of a manuscript of some six thousand verses that he had lent to a friend. Shortly before his death, he turned his uncollected works and papers over to the library of ‘Abd-al-Raḥīm Kān-e Kānān. These were eventually arranged and edited by the poet Serājā of Isfahan and published with an introduction by ‘Abd-al-Bāqī of Nahāvand in 1024/1615. Further complicating the situation, another compiler Moḥammad Šādeq Nāẓem of Tabriz dubiously claimed that he caught Serājā fleeing the Kān-e Kānān’s court with the autograph copy of ‘Orfi’s works, which Nāẓem recovered and used as the basis of his own recension of the *divān*. With justifiable skepticism, Mohammad Ali has questioned the authenticity of many of the *gāzals* in these later versions of the *divān*; his judgment, however, is based on literary quality, not on philological grounds, and has been effectively rebutted by Golčīn-e Ma’āni (*Meykāna*, p. 215, nt. 2) and Moḥammad al-Ḥaqq Anšāri. Anšāri has untangled the complicated transmission of ‘Orfi’s works and has now published the results of a lifetime’s research in a definitive scholarly edition of ‘Orfi’s *kolliyāt* based on nearly forty sources and containing a full critical apparatus. Anšāri’s edition should provide the basis of future critical inquiry into the work of this controversial and talented poet, who played a crucial role in the later development of classical Persian poetry.



BIBLIOGRAPHY

For a listing of the many, widely dispersed manuscripts of ‘Orfi’s works, see Monzawī, *Noskaha*, III, pp. 1881-3 (*kolliyāt*) and pp. 2437-42 (*divān*). His *divān* and *qaṣidas* were frequently lithographed in India; for a full listing, see Mošār, *Fehrest*, I, col. 1550 and II, col. 2533-34. The printed edition of the *divān* edited by Ġolām-Ḥoseyn Javāhari Wajdi (Tehran, 1960) has now been superseded by *Kolliyāt-e ‘Orfi-ye Širāzi (bar asās-e noskaha-ye Abu’l-Qāsem Serājā Ešfahāni wa Moḥammad Šādeq Nāzem Tabrizi)*, ed. Moḥammad Wali-al-Ḥaqq Anšāri, 3 vols. in 2, Tehran, 1999. The most important *taḍkera* sources have been collected *Kārvān-e Hend*, II, pp. 872-890, and *Kolliyāt*, ed. Anšāri, I, 121-138; this edition also includes the prefaces (*dibāča*) to ‘Orfi’s works composed by ‘Abd-al-Bāqī of Nahāvand and Nāzem of Tabriz.

See also: Muhammad Ali, “‘Urfi of Shīrāz,” *Islamic Culture* 3, 1929, pp. 96-125.

Moḥammad al-Ḥaqq Anšāri, *‘Orfi Širāzi*, Lucknow, 1974 (in Urdu).

Anna Livia Beelaert, “The *Sougand-nāma* (or *Qasamīya*), a Genre in Classical Persian Poetry,” in *Iran, Questions et Connaissances: Actes du IVE Congrès Européen des Études Iranienne*, Vol. II: *Périodes Médiévale et Moderne*, ed. Maria Szuppe, Paris 2002, pp. 55-73.

‘Ali-Rezā Dakāwati Qarāgozlu, “Tašriḥ-e aḥwāl va afkār-e ‘Orfi-ye Širāzi,” *Ma‘āref* 2, 1985, pp. 129-49.

E. J. W. Gibb, *A History of Ottoman Poetry*, 6 vols., London, 1900-1909.

Aḥmad Golčīn-e Ma‘āni, *Maktab-e woqu‘ dar še‘r-e Fārsi*, 2nd ed., Mašhad, 1995.

Ḥamida Ḥojjati, “‘Orfi-ye Širāzi,” in *Dānešnāma-ye adab-e Fārsi*, IV, ed. Ḥasan Anuša, Tehran, 2001, pp. 1760-64.

Moḥammad ‘Ali Kazāna-dārī, *Manzumahā-ye fārsi-ye qarn-e 9 tā 12*, Tehran, 1996, pp. 423-25.

Paul Losensky, “‘Urfi Shirāzi,” in *EI2*. Idem, *Welcoming Fighāni: Imitation and Poetic Individuality in the Safavid-Mughal Ghazal*, Costa Mesa, Calif., 1998.



Naziri Nišāpuri, *Divān*, ed. Mazāher Mošaffā, Tehran, 1961.

‘Abd-al-Nabi Qazvini, *Tadkera-ye meykāna*, ed. A. Golčīn-e Ma‘āni, Tehran, 1961, pp. 215-34.

Rypka, *Hist. Iran. Lit.*, p. 299. Šafā, *Adabiyāt*, V/2, pp. 799-814.

Moḥammad Šebli No‘māni, *Še‘r al-‘ajam*, trans. Moḥammad-Taqī Faqr-e Dā‘i Gilāni, 5 vols., Tehran, 1956-60, III, pp. 66-111.

Aḥmad Tamimdāri, *Erfān o adab dar ‘ašr-e Šafavi*, 2 vols., Tehran, 1994, I, pp. 255-69, 417-35.