



KOJUR I. HISTORICAL GEOGRAPHY

Topography. The historical district of Kojur covers roughly a quadrangle (about 55 km by 40 km) bounded by the Caspian Sea on the north, the Čālus River on the west, Nur valley on the south, and Suledeh valley on the east. Its exact contours have always been a subject for debate owing to recurrent renaming, administrative changes, and inaccurate cartography. A close scrutiny of historical sources points to the following constituent parts: (1) The core of Kojur consists of the surroundings of the river Pul (also called Kojur), which rises from the main Alborz (q.v.) massif and flows west-northwest for some 40 km before it joins the Čālus River. This secluded basin is bounded on three sides by offshoots of the Alborz range: on the south stands the great Varavašt as its highest peak (4,008 m) within the Nur ridge, on the dry southern face of which lies the Nur district; on the north, a low, lateral chain separates the Pul valley from the Caspian coastline; and on the east lies Qal'a Gardan with its highest point at 2,623 m. (2) Across the latter mountain runs an out-of-the-way high valley, which also bears the name of Kojur, with a river (not to be mistaken with the abovementioned Pul/Kojur river) running some 35 km longitudinally into the Caspian Sea. (3) Some 15 km further east runs the parallel valley of Galandrud, which opens onto the littoral plain some 5 km before reaching the Caspian Sea. (4) North of the mountainous interior mentioned above, there is an associated coastal area, which stretches some 55 km along the Caspian seashore, from the Čālus River to Alamdeh (renamed Ruyān in the 1990s) and Suledeh/Suldeh (presently, Nur city). In the coastal



(measured from Google Earth), at the mouth of the Kojur river—of the original forest preserved as the Si-sangān National Park.

Economy and administration. Until lately, the economy remained entirely rural in the highlands. The alluvial river valleys provided ideal ground for grain cultivation, mostly rain-fed, to the extent that John Baptist Lucius Noel (1890-1989) called Kojur and Pul, together with Kalār, the granary of the Caspian provinces (Noel, p. 411). Rice plantations dominated in the northern plains (for details, see MAI, 1969, pp. 56-65). During winter, most of the workingmen of the mountainous settlements would seek work in Tehran or in the plain of Māzandarān. A lucrative business was turning wood into charcoal, an undertaking that was curtailed by the nationalization of forests in 1963. The traditional economy of Kojur was supplemented by animal husbandry in foothill pastures. Shepherders, called *nāij* in the local dialect, spent half of the year in the high uplands and the rest in villages, where women ran the economy (on the rural economy of a typical mountainous village, see Purkarim, 1970a, 1970b).

Commensurate with its rural economy, the population in the pre-modern period lived exclusively in villages. The district's center, Kojur (lat 36° 23' N, long 51° 44' E), at 1,490 m above sea level, had a population that grew from 156 souls in the 1940s (Razmārā, pp. 227-28) to 1,116 in 1966 (MAI, 1969, pp. 56-65). Other large settlements were Pul (lat 36°23.5' N, long 51°35.5' E) with 1,617 souls and, by the Caspian coast, Alamdeh (Alamdeh; lat 36° 34' N, long 51°57.5' E) with 1,620 inhabitants (ibid.). Ḥabibābād, a modest village of 65 houses in H. L. Rabino's time, having expanded rapidly since the mid-20th century to become the port of Nowšahr (q.v.), is now the administrative center of what used to be Kojur. The opening of the Tehran-Čālus highway in 1938 made Kojur's highland region, celebrated for its pleasant climate, easily accessible to the ever-growing population of Tehran. As a result, summer villas have increasingly been replacing the rustic architecture of Kojur, and Kandelus in the Miḵsāz valley has become a particularly popular location and tourist site.

Administratively, the district of Kojur has seen several revisions both internally and externally within Māzandarān's provincial subdivisions. In the late Qajar and early Pahlavi period, Kojur was administratively part of Tonekābon. The reorganization under Reza Shah Pahlavi (r. 1925-41) made Kojur one of the ten subdivisions constituting Māzandarān Province (Keyhān, II, p. 284). In the 1940s, Kojur was consolidated into the newly established



Nowšahr sub-province (*šahrestān*) (Razmārā, pp. 309-10), and it was further demoted to the rank of two rural districts (*dehestān*), named Balada-ye Kojur and Tavābe‘-e Kojur. Subsequently, historical Kojur corresponds roughly to the Ḥuma and Markazi districts (*baḳš*) of Nowšahr sub-province, circumscribed by Čālus and Kalārestāq (q.v.) to the west, Nur to the south, Suledeh/Nur to the east, and the Caspian Sea to the north (see map, Figure 1). Table 1 compares the subdivisions (*boluks*, later: *dehestāns*) listed in three sources (further, cf. Dorn, pp. 211-13; Razmārā, p. 227; Moṣāḥeb, II, p. 2184). Rabino compiled his list of *boluks* and their constituent villages based on the official revenue list made available to him as well as his own fieldwork; his list includes, additionally, Bandpey and Zand-rostāq (Rabino, 1928, pp. 108-10). An older Persian source, quoted by Dorn (pp. 211-13), gives the following twelve *boluks*, each with summer and winter residences: Panjakrostāq, Zāndrostāq, Kuhpahr, Lāšak, Šahr-e Kojur, Ankāz, Firuzkalā, Karān, Ḳeyrudkenār, Čalandar, Nāranjakband, and Kačalastāq.

Table 1
SUBDIVISIONS OF KOJUR

Rabino	Keyhān	MAI
Balada and Ḳoršid-rostāq	Nāḥia-ye Kojur	Balada-ye Kojur
Gerān	Karān	Ḥuma/Nowšahr
Ḳeyrud-kenār	Ḳeyrud-kenār	Ḳeyrud-kenār
Kalurdpey	Golrudpey	Kolurdpey
Panjak-rostāq	Panjak-rostāq	Panjak-rostāq
Kuhpar	Kuhpar	Kuhpar
Čalandar	Čalandar	Čalandar
Angās	Tavābe‘	Tavābe‘-e Kojur
Firuzkalā and ‘Olvikalā	‘Alavikalā	‘Alvikalā
Rānus-rostāq (sic)	Zānus-rostāq	Zānus-rostāq
Kača-rostāq	Kaj-rostāq	Kač-rostāq
Kālij	Kālej	Kālej

Sources: Rabino, 1928, pp. 30, 108-10; Keyhān, II, p. 300; MAI, 1969, pp. 56 ff.

Roads. In the highlands, the main road of the district runs latitudinally from the confluence of the rivers Čālus and Pul to upper reaches of the Galandrud



(via Marzanābād—Pul—Kojur—Kop), thence along the Galandrud northward to Alamdeh on the Caspian coast. This course seems to match the famous Safavid highway (built under ‘Abbās I), traversing the Caspian littoral but shifting inland from Kojur westwards. On the other hand, the modern Caspian causeway of Reza Shah’s reign passes along the coastal line of Kojur and has several modern bridges spanning the potentially flooding rivulets that flow into the Caspian. Within the Kojur highlands, a major road runs from the upper reaches of the Pul river north to the city of Nowšahr.

History. Kojur was historically an integral part of a greater mountainous province that during the past millennium carried the names Ruyān, Rostamdār, Maḥāll-e Ṭalāt, and Tonekābon. Although the boundaries of this province varied with the coming and going of rulers and dynasties, it was always positioned within the Alborz highlands extending between the plains of Māzandarān and Gilān.

The central position of Kojur within Ruyān can be inferred from the references to the Caspian region in legendary accounts and traditions as depicted in the *Šah-nāma* and other extant medieval accounts. Kojur and its *rostāqs* and villages play a central role during certain events related to the Irān-Turān wars. Accordingly, the king Manučehr, fleeing from Afrāsiāb, took refuge in Rostamdār, first in K̄voršid-rostāq (spelled also Kuršid-rostāq, Gurešjerd, etc. in sources), then in Čalandar, where the mountains are nearest to the sea. Here, between the villages of Vanušadeh and Konos, he dug a great moat, behind which he maintained his army and sent his family to Muz (or Mānahr/Mānahir) village, near which he concealed his treasure. Manučehr took up his residence in Lush (or Kuš), where he redirected the course of the Kojur River to discharge into the sea at Si-sangān, and built on the drained plain of Kojur the town of Ruyān, from which Āraš (q.v.) shot his famous arrow eastward (Zāhir -al-Din Mar‘aši, ed. Dorn, pp. 16-18; cf. idem, ed. Tasbihi, p. cix). A short version of this legend is related by Ebn Esfandiār (tr. Brown, pp. 19-20; cf. Rabino, 1928, p. 29, who attributes a longer version to Ebn Esfandiār, perhaps from a manuscript which is not used in the published editions of his *Tāriḳ-e Ṭabarestān*).

The name Kojur appears in earlier sources as Kajja/Kačča and Kaču/Kaju(ya). Tenth-century geographers describe Kajja as the seat of the governor of Ruyān (*bihā mostaqarr al-wālī wa hiya qašabatohā*; Ebn Rosta, p. 150; Ebn al-Faḳih, p. 305). Four centuries later, Yāqut, evidently quoting the earlier geographers, states that Kačča was the capital (*madina*) of Ruyān and the seat of its *wālī*



(Yāqut, II, p. 873, s.v. Ruyān), but mistakenly identifies Kajja with Kalār (idem, IV, p. 240, s.v. Kajja). The form Kajja must have fallen into disuse early on, as we find the new form Kaju/Koju on the gold coins minted in 504/1110-11 by the Baduspanid (q.v.) rulers Moḥammad b. Malekšāh and Naṣr b. Šahrivaš (Morton, nos. 8-11). Ebn Esfandiār (q.v.; fl. early 13th century), the first of the three great historians of Māzandarān, also uses the spelling “Koju.” However, a century and a half later Awliā’-Allāh Āmoli regularly uses “Kojur,” as does Ṣāhir-al-Din Mar’āši at the end of the 15th century. Notwithstanding the final-*r* sound development, the form Koju survived as an alternate spelling on coins at least to the end of the 16th century (Morton). The out-of-the-ordinary rhotacization of the toponym from *Koju* to *Kojur* may be explained as a phonological adjustment instigated by Kojur being repeatedly phrased together with its neighboring Nur district; that is, *Nur o Kaju* became *Nur o Kojur* in official documents, if not also among the local population. This conjecture is buttressed by the observation by Nimā Yušij (q.v.), who was from Nur himself, that a local pronunciation of “Kojur” is *K.ju*, a word with the generic meaning *maḥall, deh* ‘village’, whence *k.ju-var* ‘village and its environs’ (Nimā Yušij, p. 286). There is further evidence to the same effect in the current toponym of Kač-rostāq (cf. historical Kačča, Kaču) rural district in northeastern Kojur (Figure 1; see also Borjian, 2020).

The correlation between the earlier Kajja and the later Kojur on the one hand and between these and the city of Ruyān on the other has been discussed by several scholars (Vasmer, 1927; idem, “Māzandarān”; Rabino, 1928, pp. 30, 154, n. 39; Le Strange, 1930, pp. 373-74; Stark, 1934; Minorsky, “Rūyān”). A persuasive argument to the effect that they all refer to the same location was suggested by Alexander H. Morton. He arrived at this deduction by exploring a principal source, an 8th-century register, preserved by Ebn Esfandiār, of garrisons (*maslaḥa*) established in various places in Ṭabarestān during the Arab conquest. Among the listed cities Kaju is defined as *qaṣabat al-Ruyān*, the chief city of Ruyān, which attracted a garrison of six thousand men, far larger than other towns of Ṭabarestān (Ebn Esfandiār, I, p. 180). Morton (p. 81) maintained that the list of garrisons, in its original form, must be earlier than the geographers’ references to Kajja; and, since Koju is attested as the most important town in Ruyān at such an early date, Kajja and Koju must be the same.

As stated above, Kojur began to be used as the name of a town. As late as the late 15th century, Ṣāhir-al-Din Mar’āši conceives of Kočur as a city (*šahr*,



balada) and/or fort (*qal'a, heṣār*) (Zahir-al-Din, ed. Tasbiḥi, pp. 40, 44, 56, 57). It appears therefore that the toponym was extended from a town to a district at a later time. The Safavid historian Eskandar Beg Torkamān Monši (p. 535) cites regularly, not only the Kojur fort (*qal'a*), which was also known as Mārānkuh Qal'a, but also Kojur district (*welāyat*). Kojur remained a significant district under the Qajars and early Pahlavis, as attested in travel accounts of Samuel Gottlieb Gmelin (d. 1774; tr., pp. 230 ff.; Fraser, p. 103; Wells; Fortescue, pp. 319 ff.; see also above).

The history of Kojur is inseparable from that of Ruyān and Rostamdār, which were ruled from the 11th to 16th century by Bāduspānids, the local rulers who carried the title of *astandār*. A major event specific to Kojur was, late in the Ilkhanid era, the rebuilding of the town of Kojur and its fort during 1340-46 by the Bāduspānid Astandār Jalāl-al-Dawla Eskandar, who made Kojur his capital (Zahir-al-Din, ed. Tasbiḥi, pp. 44-45). When, in the mid-15th century, the Bāduspānid territory was split between its two fraternal branches, Kojur (with Lārijān) first came under the control of the Banu Eskandar but was later (1504) captured by the Banu Kāvus, who ruled from Nur. Thus Nur and Kojur began to be mentioned together, a tradition that continued in later sources. The long Bāduspānid rule was ended in the concluding years of the 16th century by 'Abbās I (Eskandar Beg, pp. 534 ff.; tr., pp. 715 ff.). Under the Safavids, Kojur is often mentioned together with Nur as one administrative unit (e.g., Eskandar Beg, pp. 399, 521, 534; tr., pp. 576, 696, 713). Later, on the other hand, under the Qajar rule, Kojur, together with the neighboring Kalārestāq and, further west, Tonekābon, were administrated together as Maḥāll-e Talāt.

After the downfall of the Safavids, there was continuous unrest in the Caspian provinces. In order to establish security and reinforce the authority of the central power, a policy of massive resettlement of tribes of Kurdish origin in the province of Māzandarān and central Alborz was inaugurated by Nāder Shah (q.v.) and later taken up by Āqā Moḥammad Khan (q.v.). Rabino reports that the already settled Caspian inhabitants of Kojur were supplemented with a smaller population of 'Abd-al-Maleki and Kāvjavand clans (Rabino, 1928, pp. 12, 30), with each ethnic group having its own governor (Rabino, 1913, pp. 441, 445). Likewise, Xavier de Planhol (q.v.), in an ethnographic study conducted in the late 1950s, found fundamental contrasts between the original population, known as the *gil*, and the newly imported Kurdish population, known as the *il*. While the *gil* tilled the fields, the *il* were chiefly cattlemen. The *gil* had



embraced the official Shi'ism, while the great majority of the Kurds adhered to the sect of Ahl-e Ḥaqq (q.v.). The economic differentiation, coupled with religious distinction, explains the noticeable segregation of the two groups. The Kurdish populations were concentrated in the southern highlands of Panjak-rostāq, Zānus-rostāq, and Tawābe'-e Kojur. In the latter rural district the village Hazārḳāl (elev. 1,550 m) was reported as entirely Kurdish, while the major village Pul had a large Kurdish population who lived in separate quarters (E'temād-al-Salṭana; Razmārā, s.v. "Pul"). In the Miḳsāz valley of Zānus-rostāq, the Kurdish villages were located at higher elevations (Jahāngiri, pp. 60-63).

Archaeology and monuments. The professional archaeology of Kojur is limited to the excavation of its prehistoric caves by Carleton S. Coon during 1949-51. Historical monuments are found abundantly in both highland and littoral plain Kojur. This is evident from the inventory compiled by Manučehr Sotuda (pp. 167-241), although this work must be used with some caution, as some items lack original research. For the most part the architectural heritage of Kojur consists of mausoleums that have been venerated throughout the ages and therefore preserved (see EMĀMZĀDA iii). In the characteristic style of Caspian architecture, most mausoleums of Kojur are tomb-towers topped by a pyramidal dome with brick facades. This style can be exemplified by the *emānzāda* of Sayyed 'Ali Kiā (see KIĀ OR KĀR KIĀ) in the costal district of Ḳeyrudkenār (Sotuda, p. 231). Conspicuous among highland structures is the mausoleum known as Ṭāher and Moṭahhar in Hazārḳāl village, 3 km south of Kojur. Its cubical structure supporting an octagonal dome is believed to have been built by the Bāduspānid Astandār Malek Kayumarṭ b. Bisotun b. Gostahm b. Ziār (d. 1453) and contains his own tomb. It was mentioned briefly by Ṣāhīr al-Dīn Mar'ašī (*Tāriḳ-e Gilān o Deylamestān*, pp. 296-97) in 1459, and the shrine's architecture was described in detail by Mirzā Ḥasan Khan E'temād-al-Salṭana in 1303/1866 (apud Sotuda, pp. 187-97). A century later, Sotuda found the interior of the burial chamber severely altered and some of its parts stolen (Sotuda, pp. 197-98).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Qāsem Aḥmadi-Lāšaki, *Kojur-e Māzandarān. Lāšak: Nemunahā-yi az taḥawwol*



dar jawāme‘-e rustāyi-e Irān, Čālus, 1994.

Awliā-Allāh Āmoli, *Tāriḳ-e Ruyān*, ed. M. Sotuda, Tehran, 1969.

H. Bobek, “Die Landschaftsgestaltung des südkaspischen Küstentieflandes,” in J. Engelhorns, ed., *Länderkundliche Forschung. Festschrift Norbert Krebs*, Stuttgart, 1936, pp. 1-25.

Habib Borjian, “Jāynām-šenāsi-e Alborz-e markazi,” *Pažuhešhā-ye zabāni-adabi-e Qafqāz o Kāspian* 1/3, 2020, pp. 19-31.

B. Dorn, *Reise nach Masanderan im Jahre 1860 I*, St. Petersburg, 1895.

J. Engelhorns, ed., *Länderkundliche Forschung; Festschrift Norbert Krebs*, Stuttgart, 1936, pp. 1-25.

Ebn al-Faqih Hamadāni, *Moktašar Ketāb al-boldān*, ed. M. J. de Goeje, Leiden, 1886.

Ebn Esfandiār, *Tāriḳ-e Ṭabarestān*, ed. ‘Abbās Eqbāl Āštiāni, Tehran, 1941; tr. (from manuscripts) Edward G. Browne as *An abridged Translation of the History of Ṭabaristán*, GMS 2, Leiden, 1905.

Ebn Rosta, *Ketāb al-a’lāq al-nafisa*, ed. M. J. de Goeje, Leiden, 1892.

E‘temād-al-Saltana, *al-Tadwin fī ahwāl Jebāl Šarvin*, Tehran, n.d. L. S. Fortescue, *Military Report on Tehran and Adjacent Provinces of North-Western Persia*, Calcutta, 1922.

J. B. Fraser, *Travels and Adventures in the Persian Provinces of the Southern Banks of the Caspian Sea*, London, 1826.

Mollā Shaikh ‘Ali Gilāni, *Tāriḳ-e Māzandarān*, ed. M. Sotuda, Tehran, 1976.

Samuel Gottlieb Gmelin, *Reise durch Russland zur Untersuchung der drey Natur-Reiche*, 4 vols., St. Petersburg, 1770-84; tr. in part by Willem Floor as *Travels through Northern Persia, 1770-1774*, Washington, DC, 2007.

‘Ali-Asḡar Jahāngiri, *Kandelus*, 2nd ed., Tehran, 1995. Mohammad Ali Kazembeyki, *Society, Politics and Economics in Māzandarān, Iran, 1848-1914*, London, 2003.



- Mas'ud Keyhān, *Joğrāfiā-ye mofašsal-e Irān*, 3 vols., Tehran, 1921-22.
- G. Le Strange, *The Lands of the Eastern Caliphate*, 2nd ed., Cambridge, 1930.
- MAI = Markaz-e āmār-e Irān (Sāzmān-e barnāma wa budjeh), *Village Gazetteer/Farhang-e ābādihā-ye kešvar XI. Ostān-e Māzandarān*, Tehran, 1969.
- Idem, *Saršomāri-e 'omumi-e nofus o maskan, 1385*, Tehran, 2007.
- V. Minorsky, "Rūyān", in *EI*¹, s.v.; also at *Encyclopaedia of Islam: First Edition (1913-1936)*, http://referenceworks.brillonline.com/entries/encyclopaedia-of-islam-1/ruyan-SIM_3735); *EI*², VIII, 1995, pp. 650-51.
- Ġolām-Ĥosayn Mošāḥeb, *Dāyerat al-ma'āref-e fārsi* II/1, Tehran, 1977.
- A. H. Morton, "Dinars from Western Māzandarān of Some Vassals of the Saljūq Sultan Muḥammad b. Malik- Shāh," *Iran* 25, 1987, pp. 77-90.
- Nimā Yušij, *Yāddāsthā-ye ruzāna-ye Nimā: Bāznevisi o bāzyābi az ruy-e dastneveštahā-ye Nimā*, ed. Šerāgim Yušij, 2nd ed., Tehran, 2009.
- Nāšer-al-Din Shah Qājār, *Ruz-nāma-ye safar-e Māzandarān*, lithographed edition, Tehran, 1977.
- J. B. L. Noel, "A Reconnaissance in the Caspian Provinces of Persia," *Geographical Journal* 57/6, 1921, pp. 401-15.
- Xavier de Planhol, "Recherches sur la géographie humaine de l'Iran septentrional," *Mémoires et Documents du Centre de Documentation Cartographique et Géographique* 9/4, 1964, pp. 3-79; Pers. tr. Sirus Sahāmi as "Pažuheš-i pirāmun-e joğrafiā-ye ensāni-e šomāl-e Irān," *Majalla-ye dāneškada-ye adabiyāt o 'olum-e ensāni-e Mašhad* 6/1-3, 1970.
- Hušang Purkarim, "Dehkada-ye Samā," *Honar o mardom* no. 95, 1349 Š./1970a, pp. 31-44; no. 98, 1349 Š./1970b, pp. 45-56.
- H. L. Rabino, "A Journey in Mazanderan (from Rasht to Sari)," *Geographical Journal* 42/5, 1913, pp. 435-54.
- Idem, *Māzandarān and Astarābād*, London, 1928.
- Ĥosayn-'Ali Razmārā, ed., *Farhang-e joğrāfiā'i-e Irān (ābādihā)* III, Tehran,



1950.

Maḥmud Solṭāni-Largāni, *Kojur*, n.p., 2004.

M. Sotuda, *Az Āstārā tā Astārbād* III, Tehran, 1976. F. Stark, "The Site of the City of Kalar in Kalar Dasht," *Geographical Journal* 83/3, 1934, pp. 211-17.

R. Vasmer, "Die Eroberung Ṭabaristāns durch die Araber," *Islamica* 3/1, 1927, pp. 115-25.

Idem, "Māzandarān", in *EI*¹, s.v.; also *Encyclopaedia of Islam: First Edition (1913-1936)*,
http://referenceworks.brillonline.com/entries/encyclopaedia-of-islam-1/mazandarān-COM_0157.

H. L. Wells, "Across the Elburz Mountains to the Caspian Sea," *The Scottish Geographical Magazine* 14, January 1898, pp. 1-9.

Šehāb-al-Din Abu 'Abdallāh Yāqut Ḥamawī, *Mo'jam al-boldān*, 6 vols., ed. Ferdinand Wüstenfeld, Leipzig, 1866-70.

Mir Ṣāḥir-al-Din Mar'aši, *Tāriḳ-e Ṭabarestān o Ruyān o Māzandarān*, ed. B. Dorn as *Sehir-eddin's Geschichte von Tabaristan, Rujan und Masanderan; Persischer Text herausgegeben*, St. Petersburg, 1850; ed. M.-Ḥ. Tasbiḥi, Tehran, 1976. Idem, *Tāriḳ-e Gilān o Deylamestān*, ed. H. L. Rabino, Rasht, 1912; ed. M. Sotuda, Tehran, 1985.