



KINGSHIP VI. THE PERSIAN CONCEPT OF KINGSHIP IN THE ISLAMIC PERIOD

The concepts of kingship in the earliest period of Islamic history were drawn from the Qur'anic stories. Joseph speaks of the king of Egypt as *al-malek* (12:43-76). Similarly, king Saul (Ṭālūt in Arabic sources) is referred to as *al-malek* (2:247). God is referred to as the “True King” (*al-malek al-ḥaqq*; 20:114; 23:116) and the “King of Humankind” (*malek al-nās*; 114:2).

Concepts of Persian kingship during the period derived principally from accounts of Sasanian rulers, as well as from the stories of legendary kings such as *Gayōmart* and *Jamšid* (qq.v.). Anecdotes illustrating the wisdom of Persian kings were preserved and transmitted in Persian and Arabic historical narratives as well as works of political advice in the genres of *adab*, *naṣiḥa*, *pand*, and *andarz* (see [ADAB](#); [ANDARZ](#)), and in Persian poetry of the *maṭnawī* form. Accounts of the Persian heritage of kingship were studied by Muslim scholars following the Arab-Muslim conquests of Persia in the 7th century (Yarshater, pp. 359-66). In Arabic sources, these were initially referred to as the histories of “Persian kings” (*moluk al-‘ajam*; *moluk al-fārs*) (Hoyland). The moral example of these kings served as a model for different Muslim rulers and intellectuals from the 8th century on.

The sources of Persian kingship. Much of the knowledge of Persian kings was



derived from various Middle Persian sources written during the Sasanian period (224 CE–650 CE). One such work is the late-Sasanian history of Persian kings, composed near the end of the 6th century, known as the *Xwadāy-nāmag* (Book of Lords; see [KODĀ-NĀMA](#); Hāmeen-Antilla, p. 2). Abu Moḥammad ‘Abd-Allāh Rozbeh [Ebn al-Moqaffa](#) (q.v.; ca. 721-57) played a seminal role in preserving and transmitting this knowledge through his modified Arabic translation of *Xwadāy-nāmag*, usually called *Ketāb siar moluk al-fors*, although this work no longer survives. Ḥamza Eṣfahāni (ca. 893-961), writing in the first half of the 10th century, listed eight texts he consulted in writing his account of the “kings of Persia” (*moluk al-fors*) titled *Ketāb ta’riḵ seni moluk al-arz wa’l-anbiā’*. The first of the sources he referenced was *Ketāb siar moluk al-fors* (Ḥamza Eṣfahāni, p. 8). He divided the history of Persian kings into four periods, a schema generally agreed upon by medieval Muslim historians. These consisted of al-Fešdādiya (Pēšdādiān), al-Keyāniya ([Kayānians](#), q.v.), al-Ašgāniya (see [ARSACIDS](#); also known as Aškāniān and Parthians), and al-Sāsāniya (Sasanian). The first two of these dynasties are legendary. Another Middle Persian work, [Ḳusraw ī Kawādān ud rēdak-ēw](#) (q.v.), describes an aspiring court page displaying his extensive knowledge and skills before the Sasanian king [Ḳosrow I](#) (q.v.; r. 531-79), or possibly [Ḳosrow II](#) (q.v.; r. 590-628). Abu Maṣṣur ‘Abd-al-Malek Ṭā‘ālebi (961–1038) included parts of this text in his *Ḡorar aḵbār moluk al-fors* (First [as in best] reports on the kings of Persia) (Ṭā‘ālebi, pp. 705-11; Monchi-Zadeh, pp. 47-91). Abu ‘Ali Aḥmad [Meskawayh](#) (q.v.; d. 1030), in his *Tajāreb al-omam* (Experiences of nations), recounted the purported “testament” of the founder of Sasanian dynasty [Ardašir I](#) (q.v.; r. 226-241 CE), known as *‘Ahd Ardašir* (Meskawayh, I, pp. 97-107). The historian Abu Ja‘far [Moḥammad b. Jarir Ṭabari](#) (q.v.; 839–923) covered the history of Persian kings in *Ta’riḵ al-rosul wa’l-moluk* (The history of prophets and kings). He mentioned that *Gayōmart* is the father of the Persian people (*Abu al-fāres*) and that “he and his children continued to rule until their royal authority came to an end when the Muslims entered [Madā’en](#) [q.v.] *kesra*” (Ṭabari, I, p. 13; tr. I, p. 186). As referenced by Ṭabari and others, early Muslim scholars debated whether *Gayōmart* was the Prophet Adam or a descendant of Adam.

Historians conceptualized human history as being divided into the history of prophets, who were of Arab descent, and the history of kings, who were of Persian descent. [Ferdowsi](#) (q.v.; 940-1019 or 1025) was the greatest compiler of stories of pre-Islamic Persian kings, preserved in oral and textual traditions, which he memorialized and gave new life to in verse form in the *Šāh-nāma*. Other works of Persian poetry also transmitted concepts of Persian kingship.



The *Šāh-nāma* and the broader legacy of pre-Islamic Persian kings inspired a Persian literary genre of epics that served as a repository of concepts of Persian kingship (Askari, pp. 30-40). For example, ‘Ali b. Aḥmad *Asadi Tūsi* (q.v.; ca. 999/1000-1072/73) composed the *Garšāsp-nāma* (q.v.), relating tales of Garšāsp (see *KARSĀSP*), the last of the legendary Pēšdādiān kings.

The civilizing mission of Persian kings. Arabic and Persian sources that treat the stories of Persian kings frequently revolve around the ruler’s duty to civilize the world (Auer, 2017). Persian kings were depicted as being engaged in a battle with savage and demonic forces. For instance, Ḥamza Eṣfahāni noted that *Hōšang* (q.v.), known by the epithet *pēš-dād*, “he who first set the law of sovereignty,” was responsible for the mining of iron and the fabrication of weapons. He was also said to have developed hunting (Ḥamza Eṣfahāni, p. 29). According to Ṭabari, Hōšang defeated the devil (*Eblis*) and his army and banned the devil from human society (Ṭabari, I, p. 171; tr. I, p. 342). Mythical Persian kings were also credited with the construction of first cities. Tahmurat, a Pēšdādiān king and descendent of Hōšang, was credited with the construction of *Babylon* (q.v.), the fortress of Marv, and one of the seven cities of Madā’en (Ḥamza Eṣfahāni, p. 29). Another Pēšdādiān ruler, Jamšid, was credited with advancing civilization through inventions and new technologies. According to Ṭabari, for example, Jamšid invented the horse saddle, produced textiles from silk, developed medicine, and forged iron tools for crafts and weaponry. Jamšid is also said to have tamed the “satans and jinn,” employing them in construction projects (Ṭabari, I, p. 180; tr. I, p. 349). Ferdowsi, too, narrated Jamšid’s control over “the demon (*div*), bird, and fairy” (Ferdowsi, I, l. 6, p. 41; tr. I, p. 131).

Mythical and historical Persian kings were depicted as great warriors and skilled huntsmen (Hanaway). Accounts of the Sasanian ruler *Bahrām V Gōr* (q.v.; r. 420-38) describe his legendary defeat of dragons, elephants, and lions, as well as his extraordinary ability to hunt other wild animals. He is said to have traveled to the Indian subcontinent to rid the kingdom of Kanauj of a dragon that was terrorizing the people (Ferdowsi, VI, p. 571-76; tr. VII, pp. 124-26). He received the epithet of Gōr, “Onager,” due his great skill in archery as narrated in the story of Bahrām and the lyre-player *Āzāda* (q.v.).

Among the imputed civilizing mission of Persian kings was the preservation of orderly and peaceful governance and social welfare. Social order was maintained in accordance with class hierarchy, said to have been established by Jamšid. Ferdowsi noted that Jamšid organized society into classes of priests,



warriors, farmers, and artisans (Ferdowsi, I, pp. 42-43; tr. I, pp. 132-133). Ṭabari rendered these classes as the four “categories” (*ṭabaqāt*) of soldiers (*moqātele*), religious scholars (*foqahā*), scribes (*kottāb*), and artisans (*ṣonnā*) and cultivators (*ḥarrāṭin*) (Ṭabari, I, p. 180; tr. I, p. 349). Abu Rayḥān Biruni (q.v.; ca. 973-1048) mentioned the restoration of the four social classes under Sasanian ruler Ardašir I (Biruni, 1958, p. 76; tr. I, p. 100). Individuals born into each class were to remain in it, in order to prevent rebellion and maintain social stability.

The signs of Persian kings. The concept of *farr* ‘glory (good) fortune, kingly majesty’ (see FARR[AH]), has an ancient pedigree going as far back as the time of the Old Iranian languages (Av. *x^varənah*; Mid.Pers. *xwarrah*). This concept was introduced to Islamic political theories by Abu’l-Ḥasam b. ‘Ali Neẓām-al-Molk (q.v., 1018-1092), vizier to two Great Saljuq sultans, who said kings may possess the *farr-e elāhi* (“divine blessing”) (Neẓām-al-Molk, p. 69; tr. p. 61). In a direct translation from the Persian to the Arabic, Ṭa‘ālebi defined *farr* as “the light of divine blessing” (*sho‘ā’ al-sa‘adat al-elāhiya*) (Ṭa‘ālebi, p. 7), preserving the meaning of the term in Old Iranian languages that denotes the sun and fire. *Farr-e izadi* (also meaning “divine blessing”) is a recurrent topic in Ferdowsi’s *Šāh-nāma*.

Authors described *farr-e izadi* as a divinely conferred blessing upon a ruler manifested in the ruler’s actions and person. Similarly, visual representations of *farr-e izadi* (see FARR[AH] ii. ICONOGRAPHY OF FARR[AH]/X^VARƏNAH) symbolized the legitimacy of a ruler. According to Ṭa‘ālebi, the reign of the Kayānian king Dārā I (see DĀRĀ[B] [1]) was validated by the appearance of the *farr* (Ṭa‘ālebi, p. 397). In the *Naṣiḥat al-muluk* (“Counsel for kings”) of Abu Ḥāmed Moḥammad Ġazāli (q.v.), Aristotle responds to a query about kingly qualities by enumerating knowledge, generosity, and clemency among such qualities and noting that these are derived from “divine blessing” (*farr-e izadi*) (Ġazāli, pp. 65-66; tr. pp. 73-75). In this work, it is also stated that those with *farr-e izadi* are to be obeyed, since their authority is bestowed by God (Ġazāli, pp. 39-40; tr. p. 45). Even as late as the early modern period, Abu’l-Faẓl ‘Allāmi (q.v., 1551-1602), the noted vizier to the Mughal emperor Akbar (r. 1556-1605), maintained that *farr-e izadi* is a “the divine light” received directly from God. ‘Allāmi, who was expounding the ideal principles of kingship, wrote, “Kingship is a light from the incomparable giver of justice, and a ray from the sun, the illuminator of the universe, the argument of the book of perfection, the receptacle of all virtues. Modern language calls this the divine light/fire (*farr-e*



izadi), and the tongue of antiquity called it the light/fire of kings (*kayān kwarra*). It appears in sacred form without the intermediate assistance of anyone, and men, in the presence of it, bend the forehead of praise toward the ground of submission” (Abu’l-Faḡl ‘Allāmi, I, p. 2; tr. p. iii).

Particular symbolisms were associated with the mythical and historical Persian kings. For instance, the poet Neḡāmi Ganjavi (1141-1209) wrote in his versified account of the life of Alexander, *Eskandar-nāma* (see [ESKANDAR-NĀMA OF NEḢĀMI](#)), that “Through time six mementos remain of six kings: the crown (*kollāh*) of Gayōmart ‘the Throne Seizer’; the sword (*tiḡ*) of Jamšid; the throne (*sarir*) of Faridun; the fortune-telling cup (*jam*) of Kay Ḳosrow), in which is found the decrees of the stars; the resplendent pearly mirror (*ā’ina*) of the paragon of the time, Alexander; and the ruby-studded ring (*kātam*) with the glowing insignia of Solomon” (Neḡāmi, pp. 43-44; tr. p. 102). Menhāj-e Serāj Jowzjāni (see [MENHĀJ-E SERĀJ](#); b. 1193), a historian in the court of the Delhi sultans, wrote of “the glory (*farr*) of Faridun, the custom (*nahād*) of Qobād, the law (*nāmus*) of Kāwus, the dominion (*dawlat*) of Alexander, and the ferocity (*ṣawlat*) of Bahrām” (Jowzjāni, I, p. 440; tr. I, p. 598).

The moral characteristics of Persian kings. Over time the qualities of kingship attributed to the great legendary and historical Persian rulers crystalized into traits considered essential for kingship, and stories of Persian kings permeated Arabic and Persian advice literature, serving as examples for Muslim rulers. The history of Persian kings and their exploits were a principal theme in moralizing political literature. The primary traits of good rule were said to be the exercise of justice, compassion, clemency, generosity, and wisdom. Persian kings were said to possess many of these character traits. Not all accounts of the ancient Persian kings were positive and many anecdotes also criticized some of the kings. Examples of this appear in Ferdowsi’s *Šāh-nāma*, which relates both the moral strengths as well as weaknesses of kings (Davis). Both types of anecdotes can also be found in Neḡām-al-Molk’s treatment of such kings as Kay Qobād, Kay Ḳosrow, Ardašir, Bahrām Gōr, and Ḳosrow I.

The Sasanian Ḳosrow I (r. 531-79) was portrayed, particularly in the medieval period, as the king *par excellence*. He was said to have been an exceptionally wise and just ruler and was frequently referred to as Anuširwān, from the Middle Persian Anōšag-ruwān (“immortal soul”), as well as ‘Ādel, “The Just” (Ar. *al-’adl*) (Marcotte, pp. 77-80). The wisdom of Ḳosrow I was also amply attested in Persian and Arabic advice literature. One of the archetypal examples of his wisdom was his search for the famed *Pañcatantra*, the Indian



treatise of political advice in Sanskrit, which resulted in a Middle Persian translation that ultimately led to its Arabic translation by Ebn al-Moqaffa', called *Kalila wa Demna* (q.v.). To find the *Pañcatantra*, Kōsrow I reportedly commissioned the skilled physician *Borzuya* (q.v.) to travel to the Indian subcontinent (de Blois, pp. 40-43). Persian kings were also said to have greatly rewarded their courtiers for their sagacity, repartee, and knowledge. In this regard, Neẓām-al-Molk wrote: "It was the custom of the kings of the Sasanian line that whenever anyone in their presence said any word or demonstrated any skill which pleased them, they would utter the word 'Bravo!' Immediately on hearing this the treasurer would give that person 1,000 dinars. The Persian kings (*moluk-e akāsera*) surpassed all other kings in justice, humanity and magnanimity. This was particularly true of Anušīrwān the Just" (Neẓām-al-Molk, p. 154; tr. p. 127).

In the early 12th century, the author known as *Ebn al-Balki* (q.v.) quoted the following proverb, reportedly translated into Arabic from the Middle Persian: "There is no kingship without the army, no army without wealth, no wealth without productivity, and no productivity without justice" (Ebn al-Balki, p. 5). An earlier version of this proverb was recorded in the 9th century by Abu Moḥammad 'Abd-Allāh *Ebn Qotayba* (q.v.), but without a clear attribution of its Persian origins (Ebn Qotayba, I, p. 9). The principle embodied in this proverb came to be known as the "circle of justice" and attained wide currency in Persian and Arabic advice literature (Darling). Another much quoted proverb, appearing in different renderings and frequently attributed to Ardašīr, stated: "Religion (*al-din*) and kingship (*al-molk*) are brothers, one is not possible without the other" (Ebn Qotayba, I, p. 13; Ta'ālebi, p. 481; Neẓām-al-Molk, p. 69; tr., p. 60; Ġazāli, p. 51; tr. p. 59; Auer, 2012, pp. 138-39).

The *Ādāb al-ḥarb wa'l-šajā'a* (q.v.; The etiquette of war and valor) by *Fakr-e Modabber* (q.v.; ca. 1157–1236) is one of the greatest medieval compilations of purported sayings and deeds of Persian kings. In 1229, Fakr-e Modabber presented this work to the ruler of the *Delhi sultanate* (q.v.) Šams-al-Din *Ēltotmeš* (q.v.; r. 1211–36) in the latter's capital Delhi. In this work, the anecdotes and stories about Persian kings and Muslim rulers are organized according to principal traits of kingship: kindness (*karam*), clemency (*ḥelm*), forgiveness (*'afw*), good intension (*niat*), justice (*'adl*), compassion (*šafaqat*), and mercy (*raḥmat*). Around the same time Moḥammad Sadid-al-Din 'Awfi (see *'AWFĪ, SADĪD-AL-DĪN*, fl. 1221) composed his extensive anthology of anecdotes, *Jawāme' al-ḥekāyāt wa lawāme' al-rewāyāt* (q.v.), dedicating it to



Ēltotmeš's minister Qewām-al-Din Moḥammad b. Abi Sa'd Jonaydi. This work contains a section dedicated to the history of Persian kings, with copious anecdotes about Persian kings interspersed throughout the text (Nizāmu'd-Dīn, pp. 146-49, 153-61, and passim). [Ziā'al-Din Barani](#) (q.v.; ca. 1285-1357) was another leading authority on Persian concepts of kingship during the reign of the Delhi sultans, as evident from his work of political advice titled *Fatāwā-ye jahāndāri*. He provided a number of axioms emblematic of Persian concepts of kingship, such as "Kingship is established on two pillars, governance (*jahāndāri*) and conquest (*jahāngir*); both pillars are supported by the army" (Barani, *Fatāwā*, p. 96; tr. p. 22). To a large degree, the knowledge of Persian kingship that was transmitted over the centuries also went through a process of Islamization. Persian concepts of kingship were so assimilated to Islamic political ideals that Muslim authors did not always distinguish between the two (Tor).

Symbolic representations of Persian kingship and the Persian pedigree of kings as a form of political legitimacy. Many Muslim rulers sought to legitimize their reign and boost their prestige in the eyes of their subjects by evoking the heritage and aura of pre-Islamic Persian kings and engaging in symbolic display of Persian kingship. Some Muslim rulers also claimed historical ties to those Persian kings, including ethnic affinity or direct descent, as a means of validating their own rule and enhancing their dynastic charisma. These Muslim rulers cultivated a public image of reigning in the manner of great Persian kings, such as Jamšid, Faridun (see [FERĒDŪN](#)), Bahrām Gōr, and Kōsrow I. The Muslim rulers appropriated the titles of legendary and historical Persian kings, and they were praised by their courtiers for displaying attributes of kingship associated with the Persian monarchs. The [Taherids](#) (q.v.; 821-873), who governed Khorasan for the ['Abbasid caliphate](#) (q.v.), claimed to be descendants of Rostam-e Dastān, the legendary Persian hero (Bosworth, 1969, p. 49). However, they also claimed ties to the Arab tribes of Kōzā'a and Qorayš, and it is unclear whether they drew on concepts of Persian kingship as well. Yet, their identity as local rulers with an alleged royal Persian pedigree played a role in the Šo'ubiya debates of the time (see [IRANIAN IDENTITY iii. MEDIEVAL ISLAMIC PERIOD](#)), contributing to the revival of Persian cultural heritage (Bosworth, 1969, p. 49-51).

Following the 7th century Muslim conquests of Sasanian territory, what scholars now refer to as Persian dynasties of the Islamic period did not appear until after the 9th century (Bosworth, 1973; Kennedy). These were principally



the Samanids (r. 819-1005; see [IRAN ii. IRANIAN HISTORY \(2\) Islamic period](#)) in Transoxiana and later on also Khorasan; the Saffarids (r. 861-1003) in eastern regions of the former Sasanian territory, including Khorasan; and the [Buyids](#) (q.v.; r. 932-1062) in western regions of the former Sasanian territory, including Iraq. These dynasties claimed a particular range of connections with the ancient Persian dynasties. The Samanid kings were pioneering patrons of Early New Persian (see [PERSIAN LANGUAGE i. EARLY NEW PERSIAN](#)) and literature composed in that language, as evident from the literary achievements of the poets Abū Manṣūr Aḥmad [Daqīqi](#) (q.v.; ca. 932-76) and Rudaki (d. 940; see [IRAN viii. PERSIAN LITERATURE \(2\) Classical](#)). Daqīqi was extremely influential in the revival of the Persian concept of kingship by embarking on his composition of a *Šāh-nāma*, which was later vastly expanded by Ferdowsi. Daqīqi began the *Šāh-nāma* at the behest of the Samanid ruler Nuḥ b. Manṣur (see [NUḤ \[II\] B. MANṢUR \[I\]](#); r. 976-97). What survives of this work only details the reign of the legendary king Goštāsp (q.v.), the text of it being preserved in Ferdowsi's *Šāh-nāma*, begun about 977. Rudaki composed poetry in *qaṣida* form in praise of Abu Ja'far Aḥmad b. Moḥammad b. Qalaf (see [AḤMAD B. MOḤAMMAD](#); r. 923-63), the Saffarid amir of Sistan. Rudaki addressed the amir as *kosrow*, the king of the rulers of the world (*šāh-e moluk-e jahān*), the sun of Sasanian essence (*āftāb-e gowhar-e Sāsān*), and Rostam-e Dastān (Ross, pp. 219, 220, 222 and tr. 232, 233, 235).

The anonymous authors of the *Tāriḳ-e Sistān* (q.v.) recorded a detailed genealogy of the founder of the Saffarid dynasty, Ya'qub b. Layṭ b. Mo'ddal (q.v.; r. 861-79), tracing Ya'qub's lineage back to Ardašir III, Anuširwān, Bahrām Gōr, Kay Qosrow, Jamšid and *Gayōmart* (pp. 200-202). Abu Bakr Moḥammad b. Ja'far al-Naršaḳi, the 10th-century historian and author of *Tāriḳ al-Boḳārā*, composed a genealogy of Samanid rulers, tracing their ancestry back to the Sasanian ruler [Bahrām VI Čobin](#) (q.v.; r. 590-91) (Naršaḳi, p. 59; cf. Biruni, 1876, p. 39; tr. p. 48). Naršaḳi characterization of Bahrām Čobin's noble disposition, erudition and wisdom, competence and discipline as a military commander, wit, and exemplary sense of justice was reproduced in many subsequent medieval Persian histories.

The earliest coins during the Islamic period that display a Persian concept of kingship were minted in Buyid realms (Richter-Bernburg). Rokn-al-Dawla Ḥasan b. Buya (r. 947-77), the Buyid ruler, minted coins bearing his image in Sasanian inspired dress and with the Middle Persian eulogy *xwarrah abzud šāhānšāh* 'may the king of kings' glory be increased' appearing on the reverse



side (Miles, p. 285). The Persian titles of *šāh* (OPers. *xšāyaθiya* ‘king’) and *šāhanšāh* (OPers. *xšāyaθiya xšāyaθiyānām* ‘king of kings’) can be traced back to Achaemenid kings as early as Darius I the Great (see [DARIUS iii. DARIUS I THE GREAT](#), 521-486 BCE). Abu Šojā’ Fannā Ƙosrow ‘Azod-al-Dawla (see [‘AẒOD-AL-DAWLA, ABŪ ŠOJĀ’ FANNĀ ƘOSROW](#); r. 936-83), Rokn-al-Dawla Ḥasan b. Būya’s son, retained this title and further claimed descent from the Sasanian monarch Bahrām Gōr (Madelung, p. 106; Khan, p. 38). In *Ṭabarestān*, the local dynasty of [Ziyarids](#) (q.v.) strove to preserve and transmit Persian concepts of kingship. The Ziyarid ruler [Kaykāvus b. Eskandar b. Qābus](#) (q.v., r. 1049- ca. 1087) claimed decent from Kāwus (d. ca. 537), the son the Sasanian king [Kawād I](#) (q.v.; r. 488–96 and 498–531) and brother to Ƙosrow I (Kaykāvus, p. 3; tr. pp. 2-3). Such professed royal lineages were intended to bolster the legitimacy of the ruler and indicate that concepts of Persian kingship were preserved and refashioned in different regions over centuries.

Persian concepts of kingship spread far and wide beyond the traditional Persian heartlands and, in addition to some Arab dynasties, were also adopted by rulers of Turkish and Mongol ethnicity. Poets in the court of the [Ghaznavid](#) (q.v.) ruler Sultan Maḥmud (see [MAḤMUD B. SEBŪKTEGIN](#); r. 998-1030) in the city of Ghazna (see [ĠAZNĪ](#)) lauded the sultan as *šāhanšāh* (Mottahedeh, p. 131). Ferdowsi, who dedicated the *Šāh-nāma* to Sultan Maḥmud, wrote of the sultan’s *farr* that “made the world like a spring garden” (Ferdowsi, I, p. 17, l. 191; tr. I, p. 113). Three sultans of the Saljuq dynasty of Anatolia (see [SALJUQS iii. SALJUQS OF RUM](#)) adopted titles symbolically connecting their rule to the otherwise mythical Kayānian kings. These were Kay Ƙosrow (r. 1192-97 and 1205-11) and his two sons ‘Ezz-al-Din Kay Kāvus (r. 1211-20) and ‘Ala’-al-Din Kay Qobād (r. 1220-37). The later ruler is said to have commissioned a *Šāh-nāma*-style history of the Saljuqs by the poet *Ƙvāja* Dahhāni which is now lost (Šikārī, fols. 5a–b; Peacock, pp. 81-82). During the Mongol reign of the [Il-khanids](#) (q.v.) over the former Persian territories, from roughly the middle of the 13th century to the middle of the 14th century, there was similar utilization of Persian concepts of kingship. The “Great Mongol” *Šāh-nāma* (see [DEMOTTE ŠĀH-NĀMA](#)), commissioned by a member of the Il-khanid court, also deployed visual imagery of Persian kingship in which Il-khan rulers were cast in settings associated with Persian kings of ancient times and vice versa. This was intended to “legitimize the new conquest dynasty and to assist the acculturation of the Mongols to Iranian traditions” (Melville, p. 344). *Šāh-nāma* inscriptions were used to decorate the summer palace of the Il-khanid ruler [Abaqa](#) (q.v.; r. 1265-82), located in northwestern



Persia (Melikian-Chirvani, pp. 82-103). Persian-speaking intellectuals employed in the Il-khanid court propagated the conceptual constructs of Persian kingship. The educational regimen of the court further perpetuated this process through the teaching of subjects in political thought and history, as well as poetry, composed in the Persian language, which served as the principal medium for transmitting ideas about Persian kingship. Examples of historical works composed during the period that recounted ideals of Persian kingship include *Nezām al-tawāriḵ* (“The ordering of histories”) by [Nāṣer-al-Din Bayzāwi](#) (q.v.) and *Jāmeʿ al-tawāriḵ* (q.v., “Compendium of chronicles”) by Rašid-al-Din (ca. 1247-1318), a minister in the court of [Ġāzān Khan](#) (q.v.; r. 1295-1304) (Melville, pp. 351-54).

In the Indian subcontinent, Persian concepts of kingship were first introduced by the [Ghurid](#) (q.v.) rulers, with the succeeding sultans of Delhi continuing the practice. Faḵr-e Modabber’s *Šajara-ye ansāb*, also known as *Baḥr al-ansāb*, contained genealogies of pre-Islamic Persian kings, both mythical and historical, along with genealogies of the Ghurid sultans (Binbaṣ, pp. 470-82). According to the testament of the sultan of Delhi Ġiāṭ-al-Din Balban (see [DELHI SULTANATE](#), r. 1266-87), preserved by the historian Žiāʿ-al-Din Barani, this king claimed descent from [Afrāsiāb](#) (q.v.), the otherwise legendary Kayānian ruler (Barani, p. 37; cf. Amir Ḳosrow, p. 42). ‘Alāʿ-[al-Din Bahmanšāh](#) (r. 1347-58), the founder of the [Bahmanid](#) dynasty (q.v.) in the [Deccan](#) (q.v.), claimed royal descent from another Kayānian king, Bahman (see [BAHMAN \[2\] SON OF ESFANDĪĀR](#); Ešāmi, I, p. 9, tr. I, p. 14).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abuʿl-Faẓl ‘Allāmi, *Āʾīn-e Akbarī*, ed. H. Blochmann, 2 vols., Calcutta, 1872-1877; tr. H. Blochmann, as *The Ain-i Akbari*, 3 vols., Calcutta, 1873-1907.
- Nasrin Askari, *The Medieval Reception of the ‘Shāhnāma’ as a Mirror for Princes*, Leiden, 2016.
- Blain Auer, *Symbols of Authority in Medieval Islam: History, Religion and Muslim Legitimacy in the Delhi Sultanate*, London, 2012.
- Idem, “Civilising the Savage: Myth, History and Persianisation in the Early Delhi Courts of South Asia,” in Andrew C. S. Peacock, ed.,



- Islamisation: Comparative Perspectives from History*, Edinburgh, 2017, pp. 393-416.
- Zīā'-al-Din Barani, *Tāriq-e firūzšāhi*, ed. Sayyid Ahmad Khan, Calcutta, 1862; tr. Ishtiyāq Ahmad Zilli, as *Tarikh-i Firoz Shahi: An English Translation*, Delhi, 2015.
 - Idem, *Fatāwā-ye jahāndāri*, ed. Afsar Salim Khan, Lahore, 1972; tr. Afsar Salim Khan, as *The Political Theory of the Delhi Sultanate (Including a Translation of Ziauddin Barani's 'Fatawa-i Jahandari')*, Allahabad, 1961.
 - İlker Evrim Binbaş, "Structure and Function of the Genealogical Tree in Islamic Historiography (1200-1500)," in İlker Evrim Binbaş and Nurten Kiliç-Schubel, eds., *Horizons of the World: Festschrift for İsenbike Togan*, Istanbul, 2011, pp. 465-544.
 - Abu Rayḥān Biruni, *Ketāb fī taḥqiq mā le'l-Hend men maqula*, Hyderabad, 1958; tr. Eduard Sachau, as *Alberuni's India*, 2 vols., London, 1888.
 - Idem, *Ketāb al-āṭār al-bāqia 'an al-qorun al-kālia*, ed. Eduard Sachau, Leipzig, 1876; tr. Eduard Sachau, *The Chronology of Ancient Nations*, London, 1879.
 - François de Blois, *Burzōy's Voyage to India and the Origin of the Book of Kalīlah wa Dimnah*, London, 1990.
 - Clifford Edmund Bosworth, "The Tahirids and Arabic Culture," *Journal of Semitic Studies* 14/1, 1969, pp. 45-79.
 - Idem, "The Heritage of Rulership in Early Islamic Iran and the Search for Dynastic Connections with the Past," *Iran: Journal of the British Institute of Persian Studies* 11, 1973, pp. 51-62.
 - Linda T. Darling, "'Do Justice, Do Justice, for that is Paradise': Middle Eastern Advice for Indian Muslim Rulers," *Comparative Studies of South Asia, Africa and the Middle East* 22/1-2, 2002, pp. 3-19.
 - Dick Davis, *Epic and Sedition: The Case of Ferdowsi's Shāhnāmah*, Fayetteville, Ark., 1992.
 - Ebn al-Balkī, *Fārs-nāma*, ed. and tr. Guy Le Strange and Reynold Nicholson, as *The Fārsnāma of Ibnu'l-Balkhī*, London, 1921.
 - Abū Moḥammad 'Abd-Allāh Ebn Qotayba, *'Oyun al-aḳbār*, 4 vols. in 2, Cairo, 1965.
 - 'Abd-al-Malek 'Eṣāmi, *Fotuḥ al-salāṭin*, ed. A. S. Usha, Madras, 1948; tr. Agha Mahdi Husain, as *Futūḥu's Salāṭin, or, Shāh Nāmah-i Hind of Iṣāmī: Translation and Commentary*, 3 vols., London, 1967-77.
 - Faḳr-e Modabber, *Ādāb al-ḥarb wa'l-šajā'a*, ed. Aḥmad Sohayli Kṽānsāri, Tehran, 1967.
 - Abu'l-Qāsem Ferdowsi, *Šāh-nāma*, ed. Djalal Khaleghi-Motlagh, et al., as



- The Shahnameh (Book of Kings)*, 12 vols. in 11, New York, 1987-2009 (8 vols. Persian text with 3 vols. commentary in Persian); tr. Arthur George Warner and Edmond Warner, as *The Shāhnāma of Firdausī*, 9 vols., London, 1905-1925.
- Abu Ḥāmed Moḥammad Ġazāli, *Naṣihat al-moluk*, Tehran, 1938; tr. Frank R. C. Bagley, as *Ghazālī's Book of Counsel for Kings (Naṣihat al-mulūk)*, London, 1964.
 - Jaakko Hämeen-Anttila, *Khwadāynāmag: The Middle Persian Book of Kings*, Leiden, 2018.
 - Ḥamza Eṣfahāni, *Ta'riḳ seni moluk al-arz wa'l-anbiā'*, ed. Josephus M. E. Gottwaldt, as *Hamzae Ispahanensis Annalium Libri X*, St. Petersburg, 1844.
 - William Hanaway, "The Concept of the Hunt in Persian Literature," *Boston Museum Bulletin* 69/355-356, 1971, pp. 21-69.
 - Robert G. Hoyland, *The 'History of the Kings of the Persians' in Three Arabic Chronicles: The Transmission of the Iranian Past from Late Antiquity to Early Islam*, Liverpool, 2018.
 - Kaykāvus b. Eskandar, *Qābus-nāma*, ed. Amin 'Abd al-Majid Badavi, Tehran, 1956; tr. Reuben Levy, as *A Mirror for Princes: The Qābūs nāma*, New York, 1951.
 - Hugh Kennedy, "Survival of Iranianness," in Vesta Sarkhosh Curtis and Sarah Stewart, eds., *The Rise of Islam*, London, 2009, pp. 13-29.
 - M. S. Khan, "A Manuscript of an Epitome of al-Ṣābī's *Kitāb al-Tāḡī*," *Arabica* 12/1, 1965, pp. 27-44.
 - Amir Ḳosrow, *Qerān al-sa'dayn*, ed. Ahmad Hasan Dani, Islamabad, 1976.
 - Wilferd Madelung, "The Assumption of the Title Shāhānshāh by the Būyids and 'The Reign of the Daylam (Dawlat al-Daylam)'," *Journal of Near Eastern Studies* 28/2, 1969, pp. 84-108.
 - Roxanne D. Marcotte, "Anūshīrvān and Buzurgmihr: The Just Ruler and the Wise Counselor, Two Figures of Persian Traditional Moral Literature," *Rocznik Orientalistyczny* 51/2, 1998, pp. 69-90.
 - Christopher Markiewicz, *The Crisis of Kingship in Late Medieval Islam: Persian Emigres and the Making of Ottoman Sovereignty*, Cambridge, 2019.
 - Assadullah Souren Melikian-Chirvani, "Le livre des rois, miroir du destin. II. Takht-e Soleymān et la symbolique du 'Shāh-Nāme'," *Studia Iranica* 20/1, 1991, pp. 33-148.
 - Charles Melville, "The Royal Image in Mongol Iran," in Lynette Mitchell and Charles Melville, eds., *Every Inch a King: Comparative Studies on*



- Kings and Kingship in the Ancient and Medieval Worlds*, Leiden, 2013, pp. 343-69.
- Menhāj-e Serāj Jowzjāni, Ṭabaqāt-e nāšeri, ed. ‘Abd-al-Ḥayy Ḥabibi, 2 vols., 2nd ed., Tehran, 1963-64; tr. H. G. Raverty, as Ṭabaqāt-i Nāširi: A General History of the Muhammadan Dynasties of Asia, including Hindustan; from A. H. 194 (810 A.D.) to A.H. 658 (1260 A.D.) and the Irruption of the Infidel Mughals into Islam, 2 vols., New Delhi, 1881-99; repr. 1970.
 - Abu ‘Ali Aḥmad Meskawayh, *Tajāreb al-omam wa ta‘āqob al-hemam*, 2 vols., Tehran, 1987.
 - George Miles, “A Portrait of the Buyid Prince Rukn al-Dawlah,” *Museum Notes* (American Numismatic Society) 11, 1964, pp. 283-93, pl. 45.
 - Davoud Monchi-Zadeh, “Xusrōv ī Kavātān ut Rētak: Pahlavi Text, Transcription and Translation,” in *Monumentum Georg Morgenstierne II*, Acta Iranica 22, Leiden, 1982, pp. 47-91.
 - Roy Mottahedeh, “Finding Iran in the Panegyrics of the Ghaznavid Court,” in Andrew C.S. Peacock and Deborah G. Tor, eds., *Medieval Central Asia and the Persianate World: Iranian Tradition and Islamic Civilisation*, London, 2015, pp. 129-42.
 - Abu Bakr Moḥammad b. Ja‘far Naršaki, *Tāriḳ-e Boḳārā*, tr. Richard N. Frye, as *The History of Bukhara: Translated from a Persian Abridgement of the Arabic Original by Narsakhi*, Cambridge, Mass., 1954.
 - Abu’l-Ḥasan b. ‘Ali Neẓām-al-Molk, *Siāsāt-nāma*, ed. Moḥammad Qazvini, Tehran, 1965, tr. Hubert Darke, as *The Book of Government or Rules for Kings: The Siyāsāt-nāma or Siyar al-Mulūk of Niẓām al-Mulk*, London, 1960.
 - Neẓāmi Ganjavi, *Šaraf-nāma*, Tehran, 2001, tr. Henry W. Clarke, as *The Sikandar nāma e Bará, or Book of Alexander the Great, Written A.D. 1200*, London, 1881.
 - Muḥammad Niẓāmu’d-Dín, *Introduction to the Jawāmi‘ u’l-Ḥikáyát wa Lawāmi‘u’r-Riwáyát of Sadídu’d-Dín Muḥammad al-‘Awfí*, London, 1929.
 - Andrew C. S. Peacock, “Seljuq Legitimacy in Islamic History,” in Christian Lange and Songül Mecit, eds., *The Seljuqs: Politics, Society and Culture*, Edinburgh, 2011, pp. 79-95.
 - Lutz Richter-Bernburg, “Amīr-Malik-Shāhānshāh: ‘Aḍud ad-Daula’s Titulature Re-Examined,” *Iran: Journal of the British Institute of Persian Studies* 18, 1980, pp. 83-102.
 - E. Denison Ross, “A Qasida by Rudaki,” *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland* 58/2, 1926, pp. 213-37.



- Ahmet Şikârî, *Karamannâme: Zamanın Kahramanı Karamanîler'in Tarihi*, eds. Metin Sözen and Necdet Sakaoğlu, Istanbul, 2005.
- Abu Manşur 'Abd-al-Malek Ta'âlebi, *Ġorar akbâr moluk al-fors*, ed. and tr. Hermann Zotenberg, as *Histoire des rois des Perses*, Paris, 1900.
- Abu Ja'far Moḥammad b. Jarir Ṭabari, *Ta'riḳ al-rosul wa'l-moluk*, eds. Michael J. de Goeje et al., 15 vols. in 3 series, Leiden, 1879-1901; tr. as *The History of al-Ṭabarī*, gen. ed. Ehsan Yarshater, 40 vols., Albany, NY, 1985-2007.
- *Tāri ḳ -e Sistān*, ed. Moḥammad-Taḳi Bahār, Tehran, 1935; English tr. Milton Gold, Rome, 1976.
- Deborah Tor, "The Islamisation of Iranian Kingly Ideals in the Persianate Fürstenspiegel," *Iran: Journal of the British Institute of Persian Studies* 49, 2011, pp. 115-22.
- Ehsan Yarshater, "Iranian National History," in Ehsan Yarshater, ed., *The Cambridge History of Iran III/1: The Seleucid, Parthian and Sasanian Periods*, Cambridge, 1983, pp. 359-477.