



JAVĀNMARDI

JAVĀNMARDI, or *FOTOWWA*, denotes a wide variety of amorphous associations with initiation rituals and codes in the Islamic world, primarily in its eastern regions. They also refers to the ideological or philosophical underpinnings of such associations, namely an ethical system dominated by altruism, magnanimity, liberality, and unquestioning loyalty to fellow members of the association. The personal noun associated with *fotowwa* is *fatā* “young” (pl. *fetyān*).

Fotowwa did not exist as an identifiable institution in the time of the Prophet (Cahen, 1959, pp. 32-34). The term *fatā* nonetheless occurs in the Qur’ān (12:30, 18:60, 21:60, and in the plural forms *fetya* and *fetyān*: 12:36, 12:62, 18:10, 18:13). In the last of these verses, which refers to the People of the Cave (*aṣḥāb al-kaḥf*), the term may have a laudatory sense: “They were young men who believed in their Lord and We increased them in guidance.” It was certainly interpreted in this way by later commentators, such as Rašid-al-Din Meybodi, who pointed out that the Qur’ān refers to a number of prophets including Abraham (Ebrāhim), Joshua (Yuša’), and Joseph (Yusof) as *fatā* and used the occasion of elucidating the quoted verse on the *aṣḥāb al-kaḥf* to sketch the general principles of *javānmardi* (Meybodi, V, pp. 668-69; *Tarjama-ye tafsir-e Ṭabari* III, p. 940, both using the term *javānmard*). Even if such interpretations be questioned as an attribution to the Qur’ān of later semantic developments, it is certain that the term *fotowwa* was sometimes used in pre-Islamic Arabia to designate values such as generosity, hospitality, courage, and solidarity, and it is possible that here, as on other occasions, the Qur’ān infused with partially



new content a term already current in the Arabian environment (Farès, pp. 79-80). Worthy of mention in the same connection as well as the later designation of Imam ‘Ali b. Abi Ṭāleb (q.v.) as *sayyed al-fetyān* is the well-known account of a divine utterance “*la fatā ellā ‘Ali*” (There is no young brave man but ‘Ali) during the battle of Oḥod (Ṭabari, III, p. 1402, tr., VII. p. 120; Kohlberg, p. 846). In any event, *fotowwa* was initially an individual quality, lacking in organizational associations.

The use of the term *fotowwa* with social and organizational implications arose outside the Arabian peninsula, in Iraq and Persia, and it may therefore owe its origin to institutions already existing there in pre-Islamic times (Baldick, pp. 352-61). It has thus recently been proposed (Zakeri, 1995b, pp. 303-4) that the earliest attestation of the term *fotowwa* with the connotations attached to it in Islamic culture is to be found in the poetry of Baššār b. Bord (d. 783), an Arabic poet of Persian descent. If this be true, it is possible that Persian *šo‘ubis* such as Baššār were seeking to popularize *fotowwa* in the Islamic milieu as an institution inherited from the Sasanian era. According to this line of thought, *fotowwa* was the social and ethical code practiced by *āzādān*, a class of Persian nobility (see [ĀZĀD](#)), which consisted of small landholders and warriors who served as military commanders, administrators, and court bodyguards. Their ethos is said varyingly to have been designated as *āzādagi*, implying bravery and readiness to help actively the defenseless, and as *javānmardi*, with a more abstract meaning of moral and spiritual nobility. On the other hand, in a reference to what may have been the predecessors of *javānmardān*, they are “described in the Pahlavi commentary to *Vidēvdād* 3.41 as those who believed that robbing the rich to give to the poor was a meritorious act.” In the Islamic period, *javānmardi* is often used as a synonym for terms such as ‘*ayyāri* (see [‘AYYĀR](#)) and *āzādi* that were used in the Persian context (Hanaway, pp. 161-63). The close correspondence in meaning between the Pers. *javānmardi* and the Ar. *fotowwa*, both combining the senses of youth and moral refinement, might be taken to imply a loan translation, in one direction or the other. It is more likely, however, that a confluence took place between similar concepts and traditions.

One argument in favor of a possible Persian origin for the *fotowwa* consists of the initiation ritual surrounding the admission of new members, which always includes the binding on (*šadd*) of a belt, twisted thrice around the waist of a new initiate to represent the three stages of religious knowledge and practice known as *šari‘at*, *ṭariqat*, and *ḥaqiqat* (Taeschner, 1979, p. 495; Massignon,



“*Šhadd*”). The *šadd* bears a clear resemblance to the *kustig*, the belt with which a Zoroastrian male is girded on reaching the age of fifteen as a sign of maturity. It, too, is twisted around the waist three times, to signify the three cardinal principles of “Good Speech, Good Thoughts and Good Acts” (Dhabhar, ed., chap. 46). It should, however, be noted that there is a difference between the two practices, the former denoting initiation and the latter being a rite of passage, and that the Zoroastrian *kustig* is meant to demarcate the noble from the ignoble parts of the body, an element lacking in the *šadd* of the *fotowwa*. It is also noteworthy that Salmān Fārsi (Ar. Fāresi) is generally regarded as having been entrusted with the *šadd* of the Prophet’s Companions (Massignon, 1963, tr. Unvala, p. 20), which might further support the thesis of an exclusively Persian origin for *fotowwa*. As pointed out above, however, *fotowwa* had not taken on institutional form in the time of the Prophet, apart from which many roles have been posthumously attributed to Salmān Fārsi in the complete absence of historical evidence.

DIFFERENT FORMS OF THE *FOTOWWA*.

Fetyān as an urban militia or associations in the Omayyad and ‘Abbasid periods. The military units constituted by the *āzādān* under the Sasanians remained mostly intact in the early Islamic period and were affiliated to the Arabs as clients, being employed by them, as by their former masters, to wage war on the frontiers of the empire, to constitute a personal guard or entourage (*ḡelmān / ḡolāmān, šākeriya, mawāli*), or to form a police force (*šorṭa, ma’una* “auxiliaries,” *aḥdāt*) in major cities such as Basra and Kufa (Zakeri, 1995b, pp. 112-28). It is in connection with such functions that we find the first mention of *fetyān* as organized groups, in reference to Basra in 683. In that year, Māh Farvardīn (var. Māh Afrīḍun), the Persian leader of the auxiliary bands in Basra, addressed his followers as *javānmardān*, a term translated by the Arab narrator as *fetyān* (Moḥammad b. Ḥabīb, I, pp. 113-14; Ṭabari, I/1, p. 454; Zakeri, 1995b, p. 200). These urban militias are sometimes also referred to as *aḥdāt* “young men” (Moḥammad b. Ḥabīb, I, p. 684; Ebn Qotayba, p. 414). Widespread in all Persian cities from the 7th century onwards, where they were known as *abnā’ al-aḥrār* or *āzādmardiya*, groups of this type formed a significant part of the coalition that helped bring the ‘Abbasids come to power (Zakeri, 1995b, pp. 265-89). Thereafter they are seen in the various quarters of Baghdad securing public order and caring for the weak in their respective localities under the direction of a head known as the *ra’īs* or *šāḥeb al-rab’* (Balāḍori, p. 404). They were also aligned with different and sometimes



conflicting religious groups, which accounts not only for their participation in religious festivals as corporate groups but also for their role in factional and sectarian strife, particularly in the events that accompanied the siege of Baghdad in 813 (Hoffmann, pp. 27-44; Cheikh-Moussa, pp. 160-88; for modern scholarship on the part played by these *fetyān* in the life of Baghdad and other urban centers, see Sabari, pp. 77-100; Cahen, 1959).

Al-fetyān al-lāhun were associations of hedonistic youth from whom the ethical principles of *fotowwa* appears to have been conspicuously absent, with the single exception of loyalty to the group. From the early 8th century onwards, chronicles and works of *adab* speak of affluent and often unmarried youths who formed associations dedicated to hedonism and the pursuit of amusement. They called themselves *fetyān al-ṣedq*, vowing to support their comrades at times of need and to keep their proceedings secret from outsiders (Ebrāhim Bayhaqi, pp. 248-53; ‘Abbās b. al-Aḥnaf, p. 256). Their rules of conduct, including prescriptions for eating, drinking, and general public comportment, were enforced by an individual known as the *qāzi al-fetyān* (lit. the *fetyān*’s judge), no doubt by way of parody of the official *qāzis* named by the Abbasids (Jāḥeẓ, 1958, p. 67; Abu Ḥayyān Tawḥidi, 1965, p. 175; idem, 1966, IV, p. 171). Little concerned with Islamic morality or *ṣari‘a* ordinances, they were much devoted to gambling, music, and dancing; and for their indulgence they maintained communal houses known by the Persian name of *daskara* “seigniorial estate” (Taeschner, 1979, pp. 86, 206, 208, 610). Affiliated to these circles was the celebrated Persian singer and musician Ebrāhim Mawṣeli (d. 804, q.v.), who was known to his colleagues as *al-fatā al-Mawṣeli* (Abu’l-Faraj Eṣfahāni, V, pp. 154-56, 188). The presence of the Christian singer Ḥonayn Ḥiri (d. ca. 728) among the *fetyān* of Najaf and the welcome he received from the *fetyān* of Ḥemṣ on a visit to their city is an indication that *al-fetyān al-lāhun* were inter-confessional, or at least were open to participation by non-Muslims (Abu’l-Faraj Eṣfahāni, V, II, pp. 341-47). Archery and hunting, especially with trained hounds, also ranked high among the interests of the hedonist *fetyān*; the latter pursuit in particular would often bring them into conflict with the authorities. They had their own mode of dress (*ziyy al-fetyān*), greased and dyed their hair, and were further recognizable by the distinctive batons (*meḳsara*) they carried (Ebn Qotayba, I, p. 299; Ebn ‘Abd Rabbeh, V, p. 48; Abu’l-Faraj Eṣfahāni, I, p. 408, n. 7). Many of these characteristics of *al-fetyān al-lāhun* were to be found in the poet musician Ebn al-Ṭabīb (d. ca. 844). He delighted in hunting with hounds, cultivating lute players and keeping the company of the *šoṭṭār* (Kotobi, I, pp. 163-64). The occurrence here of the term



šoṭṭār (sing. *šāṭer*) is an indication that it may sometimes have been synonymous with *fetyān*. Abu Ḥayyān Tawḥīdī (q.v.), writing around 984, described the *šoṭṭār* not only as muscular youths given to the lifting of heavy stones and talking pugnaciously while twisting their mustaches, but also as claimants to *fotowwa* and *javānmardī* (Abu Ḥayyān Tawḥīdī, 1965, p. 293; see also Jāḥeẓ, 1938-47, I, pp. 168-69).

The martial fotowwa of frontier regions. It was centered especially in Khorasan, Transoxania, and Sistān, where it operated as a unifying ideal emphasizing piety, steadfastness, self-sacrifice, courage, and fortitude. It was professed by local associations of armed men fighting initially for the expansion of Islam, and included both volunteers and members of the regular army. They were known varyingly as *ḡāziān*, *mojāhedun*, *morābeṭun*, *motāṭawwe‘a*, and *‘ayyārān*, who were referred to by Vasilii V. Barthold (pp. 214-15) as “the guild of warriors for the Faith.” The origins of these groups may be sought in the auxiliary forces raised by the Omayyads and the early ‘Abbasids in border areas where their own military resources were limited. In times of peace, these military auxiliaries, for whom fighting had become a way of life and their sole source of income, were easily transformed into brigands and became a threat to the government that had first recruited them. Thus straightforward robbers (*loṣuṣ*) are often called *fetyān* (Zahir-a-Din ‘Ali Bayhaqi, I, p. 19). These robber bands sometimes acquired a popular dimension of the Robin Hood type. The classical example is Ya‘qub b. Layṭ, founder of the Saffarid dynasty in eastern Persia, who began his career as leader of a band of outlaws claiming to act as volunteers (*motāṭawwe‘a*) fighting the Kharijites in Sistān (*Tāriḳ-e Sistān*, pp. 200 ff.; Bosworth, pp. 112-13). Less successful than Ya‘qub but also well known in his own time was a certain Aswad Zobd (d. ca. 974) of Baghdad. He accused the rulers of exploiting and impoverishing the people and sought to justify his own acts of robbery by referring to injustices he had personally suffered. Among the virtues ascribed to him are that he never left his victims entirely destitute, took nothing from people with less than a thousand dirhams, and never molested women (Hamadāni, p. 217; Abu Ḥayyān Tawḥīdī, 1939-44, III, pp. 160-61). Such self-restraint may have been inspired in part by the need to gain the support or at least tolerance of the communities, both urban and rural, among whom the bandits led their precarious existence, but it also contributed heavily to the emergence of a rich folkloric literature in Persia extolling the deeds of heroes who robbed the rich while sparing the poor (Gaillard, 1987, *passim*). In general, the line separating the warrior from the rebel, and the militiaman



from the outlaw, was extremely diffuse; all were recruited from the same class.

Fotowwa in the context of Sufism. The connections of *fotowwa* with Sufism date from the early 12th century and are first seen in Khorasan, presumably as a result of Sufi participation in the *jehād* (q.v.) and interaction with the frontier warriors (*gāzi*) mentioned above. This fusion of the Sufi and the *gāzi* is exemplified by a certain Nuḥ, a Sufi and head of the *fetyān* of Nišāpur who also qualified as a warrior *‘ayyār* (Salinger, p. 484). It was buttressed by the Hadith attributed to the Prophet that distinguished two forms of *jehād*: the lesser (*aṣḡar*) waged against the external enemies of the faith, and the greater (*akbar*), waged against the sinful and neglectful tendencies within man’s inner being. Viewing the same duality somewhat differently, Henry Corbin speaks of a transition from “chevalerie militaire” to “chevalerie spirituelle,” which, according to him, is mirrored in Persian literature in the shift from the heroic epic of Ferdowsi to the mystical epic of Šehāb-al-Din Yaḥyā Sohravardi (Corbin’s *Introd. to Šarrāf*, pp. 6-7).

The first author who devoted a full-length treatise to *fotowwa* was the Khorasanian Sufi ‘Abd al-Raḥmān Solami (d. 1021). He prescribed as essential to the concept a universal generosity that extended even to the feeding of stray dogs, a renunciation of one’s rights and claims in favor of others, and a view of oneself as inescapably lower than all other creatures. This last feature in particular suggests a connection between *fotowwa* and the *Malāmātiya* or “People of Blame.” It often seems in fact that for Solami *fotowwa* and *malāmat* were entirely analogous concepts (see Solami, ed. ‘Afifi, pp. 86-120). Solami’s pupil, Abu’l-Qāsem Qoṣayri (d. 1073), discussed *fotowwa* at some length (pp. 472-78), and the term entered the general vocabulary of Sufism, although some Sufis sought to define it in idiosyncratic terms.

The absorption by the Sufis of the concept of *fotowwa* led in turn to its assimilation by a group commonly associated with them, the artisan and trade guilds. It is uncertain when the guilds arose and when they established their connections with Sufism; the earliest reliable information about them dates from the 13th century. Louis Massignon’s assertion that they came into being in the former Sasanian capital of Ctesiphon (q.v.), where they absorbed the pre-existing principles of *fotowwa* is at best an unproven hypothesis, relying on the oral traditions of some of the guilds (Massignon, 1952, p. 401). In the context of the guilds, the term *fotowwa* acquires a new shade of meaning as the code of rules and conduct for their members, ultimately put down in



writing for memorization and recitation, especially during rites of initiation. It thus led to the production of a series of manuals in Arabic, Persian, and Turkish entitled *Ketāb al-fotowwa*, *Fotowwat-nāma* or *Kasb-nāma*, and *Fütüvvet-name* respectively (Gölpınarlı, Ivanow, Mokri, Şarrāf). There was some variation among texts of this type, depending on the occupations of the guilds for which they were composed, which were further differentiated by the patrons they invoked (typically a prophet or one of the Companions of the Prophet Moḥammad) and the Sufi lineage to which they were affiliated. Useful information concerning the *fotowwa* of the guilds is to be found in ‘Onşor-al-Ma‘āli Kaykāvus b. Eskandar’s *Qābus-nāma* (chap. 44), which significantly groups them together with the *javānmardān* and the Sufis. He distinguishes between the *fotowwa* of guilds or professional associations (those of the merchants, the physicians, the astrologers, and the poets), and that of the *‘ayyārān-e javānmard*. The former includes rules of professional conduct as well as ethical principles, while the latter is a reaffirmation of virtues such as bravery, patience, loyalty, purity, and honesty.

The caliphal fotowwa of al-Nāşer (r. 1180-1225). The Great Saljuqs were able to reduce the influence of urban *fotowwa* groups by means of strong centralization. Many of their members were absorbed in municipal administration and in policing functions, but, with the decline of the Saljuq dynasty, the *fetyān* reemerged as an unstable and divisive element in the urban centers of Iraq and Persia, forming armed groups that vied for domination. As part of an imaginative attempt to unify his realm under his own spiritual as well as political authority, the caliph al-Nāşer chose to reform and bring under his control the discordant *fotowwa* groups rather than opposing and combating them. To this end, he first joined one such group two years after becoming caliph and soon thereafter proscribed all other groups. Next he declared himself master of an official Sufi-tinged *fotowwa* organization, inviting all Muslim rulers who nominally recognized his sovereignty to join, thus creating an additional link of obedience to the caliphate. He was assisted in this enterprise by the well-known Sufi shaikh, Şehāb-al-Din ‘Omar Sohravardi (d. 1234), who traveled on his behalf to Konya to enroll Kaykāvus (d. 1220), the Saljuq ruler of Anatolia, in the caliphal *fotowwa* organization. Sohravardi’s efforts were successful, and it seems likely that it is to his visit that the origins of *fotowwa* in Turkish Anatolia (from where it spread to the Balkans in the Ottoman era) must be ascribed. Nonetheless, the fact that the adherents of *fotowwa* in Anatolia were designated not as *fati* or as *javānmard* but as *akī* may point to the existence of



an indigenously Turkish element on to which the traditions of *fotowwa* were grafted. There was for long the tendency to regard *aḳi* simply as the Turkish pronunciation of Ar. *aḳi* (my brother), which would certainly be consonant with the ethos of *fotowwa*, but the possibility of a Turkish etymology is not to be excluded. The word *aqi* is mentioned in Maḥmud Kāšġari’s dictionary of early Turkish, with the meanings of “generous, courageous, virtuous,” and a progression *aqi>aḳi>ahi* would be entirely consistent with the phonetic development of Anatolian Turkish (Bayram, pp. 3-5). It is also worth noting that the Turkish word *yigit*, like Arabic *fati* and Persian *javānmard*, means both youthful and courageous or virtuous, which may be explained either as the result of loan translation, or of simple coincidence. Apart from Anatolia, al-Nāṣer’s initiative also enjoyed some success in Syria and Egypt, where the caliphal *fotowwa* continued to flourish for a while even after the destruction of the caliphate in Baghdad in 1258 (Taeschner, “Fotowwa”)

Books composed for al-Nāṣer’s aristocratic *fotowwa*, such the *Ketāb al-fotowwa* of the Hanbalite jurist Ebn al-Me’mār (d. 1244), afford considerable insight into its hierarchical structure, ceremonies of initiation, and rich terminology, much of it derived from the varieties of *fotowwa* detailed above, which the caliph’s organization was intended to supersede. According to Ebn al-Me’mār, the adherents of *fotowwa* are all bound together as “companions” or “comrades” (*refāq* or *rofaqā*). A familial relationship among them is implied by the use of terms such as “elder” (*kabir/pišqadam*) and “younger” (*ṣaġir*), “father” (*ab/pedar*) and “son” (*ebn/pesar*), and “grandfather” (*jadd*) for the supreme elder (Pers. equivalents of the Ar. terms as used by Moḥammad Āmoli, *Nafā’es al-fonun* apud Ṣarrāf, pp. 75-78). Entry into the organization thus signified that it had superseded the family as focus of loyalty. This use of relational terms led naturally to the construction of chains of initiation (*salāsel*) similar to those of the Sufi orders, all of which led back through Salmān Fārsi and Imam ‘Ali b. Abi Ṭāleb to the Prophet Moḥammad. Members of the same rank were “brothers” (*‘adil*, lit. “equal”) to each other and insofar as they drank in the name of a particular *kabir* they formed a “party” (*ḥezb*, pl. *aḥzāb*) or “group” (*ṭā’efa*, pl. *ṭawā’ef*). The combination of several such “parties” or “groups” constituted a “house” (*bayt*, pl. *boyut/kāndān*), the leader of which was varyingly designated as *za’im al-qawm*, *ṣayk*, *moqaddam*, *qā’ed*, *‘aqid*, *ab*, and *kabir al-bayt*. As in all sodalities and brotherhoods, much emphasis was placed on the initiation ritual, which according to Ebn al-Me’mār took place in two stages. A young postulant (*ṭāleb*) would seek out a postulator (*maṭlub*) to supervise him for a trial period and recommend him for formal initiation. His



actual sponsor for the initiation would be, however, a *kabir* who, after performing the key rite of girding (*šadd/miān bastan*) with the waistband (*futa/hezām/kamarband*), received his pledge of loyalty (*‘aqd*) to *fotowwa* and welcomed him into the group. Although now a “girded” (*mašdud/miān-basta*) member, he remained a novice (*morid*) for an indefinite time before becoming a fully-fledged companion (*rafiq*), an advancement signified by the ceremonial donning of the distinctive garment of *fotowwa* (*lebās al-fotowwa*), generally consisting of a pair of trousers (*sarāwil al-fotowwa*). Sometimes weapons were substituted for the trousers. On the same occasion, salted water would be drunk (*šorb*) from the bowl (*ka’s, qadaḥ*) of *fotowwa*. The whole ceremony was known as “completion” (*takmil* or *takfīa*). Discipline within a “party” would be administered on behalf of the *kabir* by a *wakil*. The *za’im al-qawm* would assign to a chief (*naqib*) the function of supervising all initiation ceremonies for his “house” and delivering the speech (*koṭba*), welcoming the new initiate (Ebn al-Me’mār, pp. 190-230; Taeschner, 1979, pp. 79-81; Salinger, p. 485).

A tripartite division of the members of *fotowwa* not mentioned by Ebn al-Me’mār can be gleaned from other sources of the same period (e.g., Najm-al-Din Zarkub, in Šarrāf, pp. 187-90). The three classes consisted of those whose adherence was purely verbal (*qawli*), those who drank the salted water (*šorbi*), and those who undertook the obligation of wearing a sword (*sayfi*). These categories may have reflected differing degrees or modalities of commitment to *fotowwa* and have thus been comparable to the lay brothers, the clerics, and the knights in Western knighthood, or the fathers, brothers, and lay people in the European religious orders (Taeschner, 1979, p. 81).

It is resemblances such as these, as well as the nature of the then available sources, that led 19th-century European scholars to conclude that al-Nāṣer’s *fotowwa* was a kind of chivalrous or knightly order and to render *fatā* or *javānmard* as “knight,” “chevalier,” or “Ritter.” It should, however, be noted that knighthood was tightly linked to European feudalism, whereas the aristocratic *fotowwa* of al-Nāṣer had no such linkage to a socio-economic system.

Fotowwa in the Mongol and post-Mongol periods. The fall of Baghdad to the Mongols in 1258 brought to an end both the ‘Abbasid caliphate and the particular type of *fotowwa* linked to it. However, the *fotowwa* of the *‘ayyārs* persisted and even flourished in Persia. The resourcefulness they demonstrated in the face of the Mongol invasion of Khorasan combined with their support of the Kart dynasty in Herat (1245-389; see [ĀL-e KART](#)) increased



their popular appeal considerably. In addition, the Sarbadarids of Khorasan, who rose against the fiscal exactions of the Mongols and managed to dislodge the last of them from Persia, had close ties with the *fotowwa* circles. Describing the early activity of the two brothers who inaugurated the movement in Bayhaq in 1337, Ebn Baṭṭuṭa says that “they were killers (*fottāk*), men of the type known in Iraq as *šoṭṭār*, in Khorasan as *sarbadār*, and in the Maghrib as *soqura* (hawks; Ebn Baṭṭuṭa, p. 383). This characterization points to a revival or persistence of the bandit *fotowwa* well-known from earlier times, but at the same time the egalitarian principles that some modern scholars, especially Ilya P. Petrushevsky (pp. 304-9), have perceived in the Sarbadarid movement has caused them to view it as a type of popular revolution.

The Sufi-tinged *fotowwa* of Sohravardi and his predecessors also enjoyed a prolongation into the Mongol and post-Mongol periods. Persian texts such as the *Fotowwat-nāma* of Najm-al-Din Zarkub (in Ṣarrāf, ed., pp. 167-218) contain a wealth of information concerning the rites of initiation and their significance. Zarkub explains that *fotowwa* is the key to all three stages of religious knowledge: *šari‘at*, *ṭariqat* and *ḥaqiqat*. The initiatic trousers symbolize “chastity” (*‘effat*); the belt is a symbol of “bravery” (*šajā‘at*); the water drunk in the initiatic ceremony is a symbol of “wisdom” (*kerad*); and the salt mixed into it symbolizes “justice” (*‘adālat*). Two Kobrawi Sufis, ‘Alā’-al-Dawla Semnāni (d. 1336, q.v.) and his pupil Mir Sayyed ‘Ali Hamadāni (d. 1384), showed considerable interest in *fotowwa*. Semnāni wrote a brief treatise on the subject, in which he incorporated several chapters of Ebn al-Me‘mār’s work as well as the *Toḥfat al-ekwān* of ‘Abd-al-Razzāq Kāšāni (d. ca. 1329). Hamadāni was actively involved in *fotowwa*, having received the *lebās al-fotowwa* from a certain Shaikh Moḥammad Aḍkāni (d. 1376), and also composed a *Resāla-ye fotowwatiya*. For Hamadāni, just as *fotowwa* is an essential part of dervish ethics (*faqr*), the garb of the *fetyān*, consisting of a distinctive cap (*kolāh*) and trousers (*sarāwil*), is mandatory wear for the dervish (Taeschner, 1979, p. 240). The most detailed of all treatises on Sufi-colored *fotowwa*, whether in Arabic or Persian, is the *Fotowwat-nāma-ye solṭāni* of Wā‘eẓ Kāšefi (d. 1505), a Naqšbandi in his Sufi affiliations. This book provides much rare information on the ethics, initiation rites, clothing, and hierarchical relationships prevailing in the associations of the water-sellers, weightlifters, wrestlers, poetry-reciters, storytellers, etc. Above all, it shows that the *fotowwa* was still quite widespread in Persia towards the close of the 15th century.



Later manifestations of *fotowwa* can be found in the popular urban associations known as *dāshā*, *jāhelān*, *šāterān*, and *yatimān*, all of which were linked to the *zur-kāna*, the traditional Persian gymnasium. Most towns had a *zur-kāna* in which the wrestlers and athletes performed their exercises before a large portrait depicting Imam ‘Ali b. Abi Ṭāleb, their patron. A patron closer in time was Pahlavān Maḥmud K̄vārazmi (d. 1322), also known as Puriā-ye Wali, who was considered an epitome of strength and chivalrous character. *Fotowwa* or *javān-mardi* seems to have provided the *zur-kāna* with its code of honor, organizational principles, and rites of initiation.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

‘Abbās b. al-Aḥnaf, *Divān*, ed. ‘Āteka K̄zraji, Cairo, 1954.

Moḥammad Aḥmad ‘Abd-al-Mawlā, *al-Ayyārūn wa’l-šoṭṭār al-baḡdādiya fi’l-ta’riḳ al-‘abbāsi*, Alexandria, 1986.

‘Abd-al-Razzāq Kāšāni Samarqandi, *Toḥfat al-ekwān fi kašā’es al-fetyān*, in Şarrāf, ed., pp. 1-57; ed. Moḥammad Dāmādi, Tehran, 1990 (both the Ar. text and its Pers. tr. rendered by Kāšāni himself).

Abu Ḥayyān Tawḥidi, *al-Emtā’ wa’l-mo’ānasa*, ed. Aḥmad Amin and Aḥmad Zayn, 3 vols., Cairo, 1939-44.

Idem, *Aklāq al-wazirayn*, ed. M. T. Ṭanji, Damascus, 1965.

Idem, *al-Başā’er wa’l-dakā’er*, ed. E. Kaylāni, 10 vols., Damascus, 1964-66.

Abu’l-Faraj Eşfahāni, *Ketāb al-aḡāni*, 20 vols, 3rd. ed., Cairo, 1345-/1926-.

Abu’l-‘Alā ‘Afifi, *al-Malāmatiya wa’l-Şufiyā wa ahl al-fotowwa*, Cairo, 1364/1945.

Iraj Afşār, “Fotowwat-nāma-ye āhangarān [comp. after 873/1468],” in *Farḳonda payām: yādgār-nāma-ye ostād Doktor Ġolām-Ḥosayn Yusofi*, Mashad, 1981, pp. 53-59.



Hamid Algar, "Shadd," in *EI2* IX, pp. 166-68.

Šams-al-Din Moḥammad b. Maḥmud Āmoli, *Nafā'es al-fonun fi 'arā'es al-'oyun*, the chap. on *fotwwa* (mainly based on Ebn al-Me'mār, pp. 110-28), in Šarrāf, ed., pp. 58-88.

Reza Arasteh, "The Character, Organization and Social Role of the *lutis* (*Javan-Mardan*) in the Traditional Iranian Society of the Nineteenth Century," *Journal of the Economic and Social history of the Orient* 4, 1961, pp. 47-52.

Idem, "The Social Role of the *Zurkhana* (House of Strength) in Iranian Urban Communities during the Nineteenth Century," *Der Islam* 37, 1961, pp. 256-59.

G. G. Arnakis, "Futuwwa Traditions in Ottoman Empire: Akhis, Bektashi Dervishes and Craftsmen," *Journal of Near Eastern Studies* 12, 1953, pp. 232-52.

Mehrdād Bahār, "Varzeš-e bāstāni-e Irān wa rišahā-ye tāriqi-e ān," *Čistā* 1, 1981, pp. 140-59; repr in idem, *Jostār-i čand dar farhang-e Irān*, Tehran, 1997, pp. 163-85.

Aḥmad b. Yaḥyā Balāḍori, *Ketāb fotuḥ al-boldān*, ed. Michaël Jan de Goeje, Leiden, 1866; repr., Leiden, 1968.

Julian Baldick, "The Iranian Origin of the *Futuwwa*," *Istituto Universitario Orientale di Napoli* 50, 1990, pp. 345-61.

Vasilii V. Barthold, *Turkestan down to the Mongol Invasion*, 3rd rev. ed., Gibb Memorial Series 5, London, 1968.

Alessandro Bausani, "An Islamic Echo of the "Trickster"? The 'Ayyārān of Indo-Persian and Malay Romances," in *Gururajamañjarikā: Studi in onore di Giuseppe Tucci*, Istituto Universitario Orientale, 2 vols., Naples, 1974, pp. 457-67.

Ebrāhim b. Mo-ḥammad Bayhaqi, *Ketāb al-maḥāsen wa'l-masāwi*, ed. Friedrich Schwally, Giessen, 1902.

Zāhir-al-Din 'Ali b. Zayd Bayhaqi, *Tatemmat šewān al-ḥekma*, ed. M. Šafi', Lahore, 1351.

Mikail Bayram, *Ahi evren ve ahi teskilāti'nin kurulusu*, Konya, 1991.



Jiri Bečka, “The Folk-novel Samak ‘ayyār,” *Archiv Orientální* 58, Prague, 1990, pp. 150-55.

Clifford E. Bosworth, *Sistan under the Arabs*, Rome, 1968.

Nes Çağatay, *Bir Türk kurumu olan ahilik*, Konya, 1981.

Claude Cahen, “Aḥdāth,” in *EI2*, p. 256.

Idem, “Mouvements et organisations populaires dans les villes de l’Asie musulmane au moyen âge: milices et associations de Foutouwwa,” *Recueils de la Société Jean Bodin* 7, 1955, pp. 273-88.

Idem, *Mouvements populaires et autonomisme urbain dans l’Asie Musulmane du Moyen Age*, Leiden, 1959 (originally published in *Arabica* 5, 1958, pp. 225-50; 6, 1959, pp. 25-56, 233-65).

Alan Cameron, *Circus Factions: Blues and Greens at Rome and Byzantium*, Oxford, 1976, esp. pp. 341-43 in reply to Vryonis.

A. Cheikh-Moussa, “L’historien et la littérature arabe médiévale,” *Arabica* 43, 1996, pp. 152-88.

Henry Corbin, “Juvenilité et chevalerie (*jawānmardī*) en Islam iranien,” *Eranos-Jahrbuch* 40, 1971, pp. 311-56.

Idem, “Tradition abrahamique et chevalerie spirituelle (*jawānmardī*),” in idem, *En Islam iranien: aspects spirituels et philosophiques*, 4 vols., Paris, 1971-73, IV, pp. 410-60.

Idem, *Traites des compagnons-chevaliers*, tr. Eḥsān Narāqi as *Āyin-e javānmardi*, Tehran, 1984 (the book also contains articles by Iranian scholars).

Moḥammad-Taqi Dānešpažuh, “Do manba‘ barā-ye tāriḳ-e javānmardi wa fotowwat,” *Rāhnemā-ye ketāb* 16/7-9, 1973, pp. 406-13.

Darviš ‘Ali b. Yusof Karkohri, *Ketāb zobdat al-ṭariḳ elā Allāh* (comp. 805/1402), chap. 6, in Şarrāf, ed., pp. 219-24.

Bahmanji N. Dhabhar, ed., *Saddar Naşr and Saddar Bundahesh*, Bombay, 1909.

George Duby, “Les *Jeunes* dans la société aristocratique au xiie siècle,” *Annales*:



économies, société, civilisations 19, 1964, pp. 835-46.

Ebn ‘Abd Rabbeh, *al-‘Eqd al-Farid*, ed. Aḥmad Amin et al., 7 vols., Cairo, 1940-53.

Ebn Baṭṭuṭa, *Rehla*, Beirut, 1960. Ebn Me‘mār Baġdādi, *Ketāb al-fotowwa*, ed. Moṣṭafā Jawād et al., Baghdad, 1958-60, Jawād’s Introd., pp. 1-99.

Ebn Qotayba Dinavari, *Oyun al-Aḵbār*, 4 vols. in 2, Cairo, 1925-30.

I. S. Enderwitz, *Liebe als Beruf: al-‘Abbās ibn al-Aḥnaf und das Ġazal*, Beirut, 1995, esp. pp. 31-65.

Ġolām-Rezā Enṣāfpur, *Tārīk wa farhang-e zur-ḵāna wa gruhhā-ye ejtemā’i-e zur-ḵānarow*, Tehran, 1974.

Bichr Farès, “Futuwwa,” in *EI1*, suppl., pp. 79-89.

Willem M. Floor, “Aṣnāf,” in *EIr* I, pp. 772-78. I

dem, “The Guilds of Iran: An Overview from the Earliest Beginnings Till 1972,” *ZDMG* 125, 1975, pp. 99-116.

Idem, “The Political Role of the lūtīs in Qājār Iran,” in *Interdisziplinäre Iran-Forschung*, Wiesbaden, 1979, pp. 179-89.

Idem, “Guilds and Futuwwat in Iran,” *ZDMG* 134, 1984, pp. 106-14.

Fotowwat-nāma-ye čitsāzān (comp. after 8th/14th cent.), in Ṣarrāf, ed., pp. 225-39; tr. Henry Corbin, *ibid.*, pp. 87-99.

Marina Gaillard, “La notion de javānmardi à travers les textes anciens d’expression persane,” M.A. thesis, University of Paris III, Sorbonne Nouvelle, 1978.

Idem, “Samak-e ‘Ayyār et Xoršid-Šāh: héros réel et héros apparent,” *Studia Iranica* 14/2, 1985, pp. 199-221.

Idem, *Le livre de Samak-e ‘Ayyār: structure et idéologie du roman persan médiéval*, Paris, 1987.

Abdūlbaki Gölpınarlı, “İslām ve Türk illerinde Fütüvvet teşkilatı,” in *İstanbul Üniversitesi iktisat fakültesi mecmuası* 11, 1949-50, pp. 1-354.



- Esmā'īl Ḥākemi, "Āyin-e forowwat wa 'ayyāri," *Soğan* 17, pp. 271-78, 523-27.
- Idem, *Āyin-e fotowwat wa Javānmardi*, Tehran, 2003. Mir Sayyed 'Alī Hamadāni, *Resāla-ye fotowwatiya*, ed. Marijan Molé, in *Şarkiyat mecmuası* 4, 1961, pp. 33-72.
- Moḥammad b. 'Abd-al-malek Hamadāni, *Takmelat ta'riḳ al-Ṭabari*, Beirut, 1961. William L. Hanaway, "Ayyār ii: 'Ayyār in Persian Sources," in *EIr* III, pp. 161-63.
- Angelika Hartmann, *an-Nāşir li-Dīn Allāh (1180-1225): Politik, Religion, Kultur in der späten 'Abbāsidenzeit*, Berlin, 1975, esp. pp. 30-34, 92-108 (on the sources, purpose, and the impact of al-Nāşer's reforms).
- R. Hartmann, "As-Sulamī's *Risālat al-Malāmatīja*," *Der Islam* 8, 1918a, pp. 157-203; note by O. Rescher, *Der Islam* 14, 1925, pp. 387-89.
- Idem, "Futuwwa und Malāma," *ZDMG* 72, 1918b, pp. 193-98.
- G. von Hoffman, "al-Amīn, al-Ma'mūn und der "Pöbel" von Baghdad in den Jahren 812/13," *ZDMG* 143, 1993, pp. 27-44.
- V. I. Ivanow, "Études sur les corporations musulmanes indo-persanes," *Revue des études islamiques* 1, 1927, pp. 249-72.
- Abu 'Oṭmān 'Amr b. Baḥr Jāḥeẓ, *Ketāb al-ḥayawān*, ed., 'Abd-Allāh Moḥammad Hārun, 7 vols., Cairo, 1938-47.
- Idem, *Ketāb al-boḳalā'*, ed. Ṭāhā Ḥājeri, Cairo, 1958.
- Parviz Nātel Ḳānlari, "Ā'in-e 'ayyāri," *Soğan* 18, 1968, pp. 1071-77; 19, 1969, pp. 19-26, 113-22, 263-67, 477-80.
- Maḥmud Kāşġari, *Divān loġāt al-tork*, ed. K. M. Rifat Bilge, Istanbul, 1915-17.
- E. Khohlberg, "Alī b. Abi Ṭāleb ii," in *EIr*. I, pp. 843-48.
- H. Koşay, "Small Businessmen's Organizations "Ahilik" (friendship) and Its Traditions," *Études Balkaniques (Sofia)* 15, 1979, pp. 101-9.
- Abu 'Abd-Allāh Moḥammad b. Şāker Kotobi, *Fawāt al-wafayāt*, ed. Eḥsān 'Abbās, 5 vols., Beirut, 1973-78.



Moḥammad b. Ḥabīb, *Ketāb al-naqā'ez: Naqā'ez Jarīr wa al-Farazdaq*, ed. A. A. Bevan as *The Naqā'id of Jarīr and al-Farazdaq*, 3 vols., Leiden, 1905-12.

Moḥammad-Ja'far Maḥjub, "Samak-e 'Ayyār: setāyeš-nāma-ye delirihā wa javānmardihā," *Soḵan* 11, 1960, pp. 667-77.

Idem, "Samak-e 'Ayyār," *Kāveš* 1, 1960, pp. 65-67.

Idem, "Ā'in-e 'ayyāri," *Soḵan* 19, 1969, pp. 869-83, 1059-73, 1182-95; 20, 1970, pp. 38-51, 173-79, 301-11.

Idem, "Oṣul-e fotowwat az naẓar-e Mawlānā Ḥosayn Wā'eẓ Kāšefi," in Ḡolām-Rezā Sotuda, ed., *Majmu'a-ye maqālāt-e naḵostin kongara-ye taḥqiqāt-e irāni* III, Tehran, 1975, pp. 210-22.

Idem, "Ravešhā-ye 'ayyāri wa nofuḍ-e kār o kerdār-e 'ayyārān dar *Šāh-nāma*," *Honar o mardom*, nos. 177-78, 1977, pp. 2-13, no. 180, pp. 20-38.

Idem, "Javānmardi dar Irān wa Eslām," *Irān-nāma/Irannameh* 11/1, 1993, pp. 7-16.

Idem, *Āyin-e javānmardi yā fotowwat*, New York, 2000. Louis Massignon, "Shadd," in *EI* IV, p. 245.

Idem, "La 'futuwwa' ou 'pact d'honneur artisanal' entre les travailleurs musulmans au Moyen Age," *La Nouvelle Clio* 4, 1952, pp. 171-98.

Idem, "Sur la Futuwwa," in Zeki Velidi Togan, ed., *Proceedings of the Twenty-second International Congress of Orientalists Held in Istanbul Sept. 15th to 22nd 1951 II: Communications*, Leiden, 1957, pp. 277-79.

Idem, "Salmān Pāk et les prémices spirituelles de l'Islam iranien," repr. in idem, *Opera Minora*, ed. Youakim Moubarac, 3 vols, Beirut, 1963; tr. Jamshedji M. Unvala, Bombay, 1955.

I. Mélikoff-Sayer, "Abū Muslim, patron des Akhis," in *Proceedings of the XXIV International Congress of Orientalists, München 1957*, Wiesbaden, 1959, pp. 419-21.

Idem, *Abū Muslim: le "porte-hache" du Khorassan dans la tradition épique turco-iranienne*, Paris, 1962.



Abu'l-Faẓl Rašīd-al-Dīn Meybodī, *Kašf al-asrār wa 'oddāt al-abrār*, ed. 'Alī-Ašğar Ḥekmat, 10 vols., Tehran, 1952-60.

H. G. Migeod, "Die Lūṭīs: Ein Ferment des Städtischen Lebens in Persien," *Journal of the Economic and Social History of the Orient* 2, 1959, pp. 82-91.

M. Mokri, "Un traité persan relatif à la corporation prolétaire des porteurs d'eau musulmans," *Revue des études islamiques* 45, 1977, pp. 131-56.

Moḥammad-Rajab Najjār, *Ḥekāyāt al-šoṭṭār wa'l-'ayyārīn fī'l-torāt al-'arabī*, Kuwait, 1981.

Ḥosayn Partow Baydā'i Kāšānī, *Tārīk-e varzeš-e bāstānī-e Īrān (Zur-kāna)*, Tehran, 1958.

Najm-al-Dīn Abu Bakr Mo-ḥammad b. Zarkub Tabrizī, *Fotowwat-nāma*, in Ṣarrāf, ed., pp. 167-218.

'Onşor-al-Ma'ālī Kaykāvus b. Eskandar, *Qābus-nāma*, ed. Gölām-Ḥosayn Yusofī, Tehran, 1973; tr. Reuben Levy as *A Mirror for Princes: The Qābus Nāma*, London, 1951.

Ilya P. Petrushevsky, *Islam in Iran*, tr. Hubert Evans, New York, 1985.

Angelo M. Piemontese, "L'organizzazione della 'Zurxâne' e la 'Futuwwa'," *Annali dell'Instituto Orientale di Napoli* 14, 1964, pp. 453-73.

Idem, "La leggenda del santo-lottatore Pahlavān Maḥmūd Xvārezmī "Puryā-ye Valī" (m. 722/1322)," *Annali dell'Instituto Orientale di Napoli* 15, 1965, pp. 167-213.

Idem, "La moderna terminologia della lotta tradizionale persiana," *Oriente Moderno* 45, 1965, pp. 787-801.

Idem, "Il Capitolo sui "Pahlavān" delle "Badayī' al-vaqāyi" di Vāşefī," *Annali dell'Instituto Orientale di Napoli* 16, 1966, pp. 207-22.

Idem, "Il Trattato Sulla Futuwwa (Fotovvatnāme-ye soltānī) di Hosein Vā'iz Kāşefī," *Atti del terzo Congresso studi arabi e islamici, Ravello, 1-6 Settembre 1966*, Ravello, 1967, pp. 557-63.

Abu'l-Qāsem 'Abd-al-Karīm Qoşayrī, *al-Resāla al-Qoşayrīya fī 'elm al-taşawwof*,



ed. 'Abd-al-Ḥalim Maḥmud, Cairo, 1966; Pers. tr. by Abu 'Ali Aḥmad 'Oṭmāni, ed. Badi'-al-Zamān Forūzanfar as *Tarjama-ye Resāla-ye Qoṣayriya*, Tehran, 1966.

A. Raymond, "Shadd 2. In the Trade Guild," in *EI2* IX, pp. 168-69.

John Renard, *Islam and the Heroic Image: Themes in Literature and Visual Arts*, Columbia, South Carolina, 1993.

Moḥammad-Amin Riāḥi, *Zabān o adab-e fārsi dar qalamrow-e 'Oṭmāni*, Tehran, 1980. Moḥammad Riāz Khan, *Aḥwāl o āṭār o aš'ār-e Mir Sayyed 'Ali Hamadāni*, Islamabad, 1364/1945. Idem, "Pirāmun-e fotowwat yā javānmardi," *Helāl* 8, 1970, pp. 8-13.

Idem, "Caliph al-Nāṣir li-Dīn Allāh and the System of 'Futuwwat,'" *Journal of Pakistan Historical Society* 18, 1970, pp. 180-90.

Idem, "Āgāz wa erteqā-ye nahẓat-e fotowwat-e eslāmi," *Ma'āref-e eslāmi*, N.S., no. 1, 1973, pp. 60-72.

Idem, "Moktaṣar-i az nahẓat-e fotowwat dar Īrān wa jahān-e eslāmi," *Majalla-ye Dāneškada-ye adabiyāt wa 'olum-e ensāni-e Dānešgāh-e Mašhad* 9, 1973, pp. 507-32, 623-50.

Idem, "Ayyāri wa šaṭṭāri," *Ma'āref-e eslāmi*, N.S., no. 2, 1974, pp. 68-77. Idem, "Futuwwat," *Ma'āref-e eslāmi*, N.S., no. 3, 1974, pp. 58-68.

Idem, "Chivalry in Islamic history," *Journal of the Regional Cultural Institute* 6, 1973, pp. 145-58.

E. Rossi, "L'instituzione scolastica militare "al-Futuwwah" nell Iraq," *Oriente Moderno* 20, 1940, pp. 297-302 (in modern Iraq, before World War II, some organizations came into being under the name of al-Fotowwa, which followed the example of Hitler's Jugend and the Italian Balilla, with the purpose of the military training of the youth).

Simha Sabari, *Mouvements populaires a Bagdād à l'époque 'abbāside, IXe- XIe siècles*, Paris, 1981.

G. Salinger, "Was the futūwa an Oriental form of Chivalry?" *Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society* 94, 1950, pp. 481-93.



Mortazā Şarrāf, ed., *Rasā'el-e javānmardān moštamel bar haft fotowwat-nāma/Traités des compagnons-chevaliers*, Tehran and Paris, 1973, Introd. by Henry Corbin, pp. 1-109.

M. S. Seale, "The Ethics of Malāmātiya Sufism and the Sermon on the Mount," *Muslim World* 58, 1968, pp. 12-23 (contains an abridged tr. of Solami's *Resālat al-Malāmātiya*).

Shaikh Şehāb-al-Din 'Omar Sohrawardi "Two *fotowwa-nāmas*," in M. Şarrāf, ed., pp. 89-66 (on these see Taeschner, 1979, pp. 242-56).

Moḥammad b. Ḥosayn Solami, *Ketāb al-fotowwa*, ed. S. Ateş as *Kitabü'l-fütüvve*, Ankara, 1977; ed. with Introd., ed. Abu'l-'Alā 'Afifi, in his *al-Malāmātiya wa'l-Şufiyā wa ahl al-fotowwa*, Cairo, 1945; tr. R. Delarivière as *La lucidité implacable: Epître des hommes du blâme*, Paris, 1991; tr. Bayrak Tosun as *The Book of Sufi Chivalry: Lessons to the Son of the Moment, Futuwwah*, London and New York, 1983; tr. Qāsem Anşāri as *Javānmardi wa Javānmardān: Ketāb al-fotowwa*, Qazvin, 2001.

Idem, *Resālat al-Malāmātiya*, ed. Abu'l-'Alā 'Afifi, in his *al-Malāmātiya wa'l-Şufiyā . . .*, pp. 71-120; see also R. Hartmann, 1918a, and F. Taeschner.

Moḥammad b. Jarir Ṭabari, *Ta'riḵ al-rosol wa'l-moluk*, tr. by various scholars as *The History of al-Ṭabari*, Albany, New York, 1985-. Franz Taeschner, "Futuwwa," in *EI2* II, pp. 961-69.

Idem, "As-Sulamī's *Kitāb al-futuwwa*," *Studia Orientalia Ioanni Pedersen septuagenario . . . dicata*, Oslo, 1953, pp. 340-51.

Idem, "Das Puppentheater nach dem Futuvvatnāme-i Sulṭanī des Ḥusain Vā'iz-i Kāşifī (gest. 910/1504-05)," in Wilhelm Hoenerback, ed., *Der Orient in der Forschung: Festschrift für Otto Spies zum April 1966*, Wiesbaden, 1967, pp. 657-59 (the story of Pahlavān Kaçal). Idem, "Ḳalīfa-ye 'Abbāsī wa āyīn-e fotowwat," tr. M. Bakṭiār, *Waḥid* 5, 1968, pp. 625-36, 788-95.

Idem, *Zünfte und Bruderschaften im Islam: Texte zur Geschichte der Futuwwa*, ed. H. Helm, Zurich and Munich, 1979 (Taeschner's *Magnum Opus*, a virtual handbook on *fotowwafotowwa*, see his bibliography in *Der Islam* 39, 1964, pp. 261-70).

Tāriḵ-e Sistān, ed. Moḥammad-Taqi Malek-al-Şo'arā' Bahār, Tehran, n.d.



Deborah G., Tor, *Violent Order: Religious Warfare, Chivalry, and the 'Ayyār Phenomenon in the Medieval Islamic World*, Würzburg, 2007.

Süleyman Uludağ and Ahmet Yaşar Ocak, "Fütüvvet," *Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı İslam Ansiklopedisi* XIII, 1996, pp. 259-63.

Tarjama-ye tafsir-e Ṭabari, ed. Ḥabib Yağmā'i, 7 vols., Tehran, 1962.

Jean Claude Vadet, "La Futuwwa, morale professionnelle ou morale mystique," *Revue des études islamiques* 46, 1978, pp. 57-90 (mainly based on Wā'ez Kāšefi's *Fotowwat-nāma-ye solṭāni*).

Speros Vryonis, "Byzantine Circus Factions and Islamic Futuwwa Organizations (neaniai, fityān, ahdāth)," *Byzantinische Zeitschrift* 58, 1965, pp. 46-59 (for counter arguments see A. Cameron, pp. 341-43).

Ḥosayn Wā'ez Kāšefi, *Fotowwat-nāma-ye solṭāni*, ed. Moḥammad-Ja'far Maḥjub, Tehran, 1971; some parts publ. with Russ. tr. by R. A. Galunov as "Zurkhana, atleticheskaya arena Persii" (Zūr-kāna, the Persian athletic arena), *Iran* 1, Leningrad, 1927, pp. 87-110; 2, 1928, pp. 72-88; synopsis of the book by Hellmut Ritter in *Der Islam* 18, 1929, pp. 315 (with some significant details).

Ḡolām-Ḥosayn Yusofi, "Dar ārzu-ye javānmardi," *Majalla-ye Dāneškada-ye adabiyāt wa 'olum-e ensāni-e Dānešgāh-e Mašhad* 10, 1974, pp. 339-68; repr. in idem, *Didār-i bā ahl-e qalam*, Mašhad, 1975, pp. 217-45 (comments on *Samak 'Ayyār*).

Mohsen Zakeri, "From Iran to Islam: 'Ayyārān and Futuwwa," in Bert G. Fragner, et al., eds., *Proceedings of the Second European Conference of Iranian Studies*, Rome, 1995a, pp. 745-57.

Idem, *Sāsānid Soldiers in Early Muslim Society: The Origins of 'Ayyārān and Futuwwa*, Wiesbaden, 1995b.

Idem, "Muslim 'Chivalry' at the Time of the Crusaders: The Case of Khurāsān and the Mongol Invasion," In *Proceedings of the 3rd European Conference of Iranian Studies, Cambridge 1995*, forthcoming 'Abd-al-Ḥosayn Zarrinkub, "Ahl-e malāmat wa rāh-e qalandar: moṭāla'a-i dar bāb-e vākonešhā-ye daruni dar taṣawwof," *Majalla-ye Dāneškada-ye adabiyāt wa 'olum-e ensāni-e Dānešgāh-e Tehrān* 22, 1975, pp. 61-100.